

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_116347
Title of the Manuscript:	SESAME FARMERS' PERCEPTION OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON SESAME PRODUCTION IN BADE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF YOBE STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript throw light on Sesame growers of Yobe State, Nigeria-as 85% of small farmers are involved. It helps the State administration and Extension personnel to improve upon and increase the export value for the State & Nigeria's exchequer.</p> <p>Title is suitable</p> <p>Abstract needs to prioritise the importance of the crop in the region, constraint of improved variety seeds and the requisite knowledge gap.</p> <p>Appropriate OK</p> <p>Reference to substantiate 85% small growers' involvement in sesame production may be cited, year of cited ref. Proshare (2015 instead of 2018) and Maddison (2009 instead of 2006) may be verified</p> <p>In the Introduction part, instead of oldest cultivated <u>Plants- crop</u> may be mentioned. In the questionnaire, authors have confused the respondents (Table 3) with respect to question sn ii & xiv: v & xv-which are contradictory as around 90% sampled growers are upto secondary educational grade and the response obtained is also contradictory, which may pl be looked to.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is useful for upgrading Sesame growers' knowledge-if emphasis is given by the administration</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Ravishankar
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India