

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_116849
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethical Issues in Educational Research
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Somewhat</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>No, there is no research method, design, how to sampling, and method of searching for articles, the time limitation of searching for articles, also, keywords for searching, and data bases have not been mentioned. Also, the aim and the conclusion do not match. The findings of the study are not complete</p> <p>no</p> <p>somewhat</p> <p>full text and abstract is unstructured. It is not cited from page 7, titled Freedom to Withdraw. In abstract, it was stated AI has problems, but in full text, conclusion part, the researcher or researchers of this manuscript stated "they can harness the power of artificial intelligence to enhance educational outcomes while safeguarding the rights and well-being of all stakeholders in the educational ecosystem".</p> <p>Also, neither the abstract nor the full text of the research method and how to search for articles, time frame, entry and exit criteria, search keywords, etc. are not mentioned. The aim and conclusion are not related. Except 2 keywords, there were not others on mesh.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Somewhat</p> <p>Some sentences are unclear.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Effat Sheikh Bahaeddin Zadeh
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran