

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJSSPN_116196</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of different nutrient combinations on quality of sweet corn (Zea mays L. var. saccharata.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article is an original research work on the <i>Effect of different nutrient combinations on quality of sweet corn (Zea mays L. var. saccharata.)</i> It contributes to an important aspect of soil and agricultural sciences. Overall, it is a promising article and may be accepted after the suggested major changes have been implemented.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>3. The location name should be written as Rahuri, India. A one-line conclusion should be written at the end of the abstract.</li> <li>4. Additional subsections are required in the manuscript like Conclusions, Recommendations, etc.</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. The references are insufficient and Old. More recent references should be inserted.</li> </ol> <p><b>Additional comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The entire article is formatted very poorly. There is no consistency in formatting. The author(s) need to re-format the entire article before re-submission.</li> <li>ii. No in-text citation of the references has been done. The author(s) need to insert the citations in the text of the article using standard referencing format of the journal.</li> <li>iii. The latitude and longitude of the location must be written in the materials and methods section. A separate sub-section of "study area" may be created for describing the location.</li> <li>iv. The author(s) should include some data on the prevailing weather conditions like humidity, precipitation, etc.</li> <li>v. The author(s) may include a few photographs of the actual soil samples, different crop treatment patterns, crop yields, etc. for better visual understanding of the core research problem and methodology. Without photographs, figures and graphs the article might not create much interest in the readers to read the full article, which may lead to low citation of the article in the future.</li> <li>vi. Graphs and figures may be plotted for Table 1 for improving the quality of the article.</li> <li>vii. The author(s) should add sections pertaining to conclusions, recommendations and future scope of the study after the Results &amp; Discussions section, describing in brief how this current research can help in developing future soil and agricultural techniques and related policies.</li> <li>viii. The references section should be formatted properly using standard formatting of the journal.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comment</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Avdesh Bhardawaj</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>