

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_115387
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOIL AMENDMENTS ON PRODUCTIVITY OF GROUNDNUT AND SOIL PROPERTIES- A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- The subject of the manuscript is relevant because groundnut is an important oilseed crop in India and study of the effect on soil amendments on the properties of the soil is also relevant in the frame of a sustainable agriculture.</li> <li>2- Article title is not suitable for a review article as it is too specific. In my opinion it needs to refer to a broader subject matter. For example:” Groundnut sustainable production” or “Groundnut production: a review”</li> <li>3- The abstract of the article is comprehensive, however, English grammatic need to be revised and corrected.</li> <li>4- Structure and subsections are too extensive and without paragraphs, they should be revised. In addition, no maps, tables, or graphs were included in the text to visualize information presented, which could help for an easy reading of the manuscript.</li> <li>5- As a review, the manuscript needs more actualized bibliography, including at least from the past 5 years. Some of the references were not peer review scientific articles.</li> <li>6- No, as mentioned in item 5, more recent bibliography is needed. The more recent papers were form 2019.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	I would suggest a revision of language English to better express concepts and ideas.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I suggest improving structure, subsections, and paragraphs, as well as English language. Also need to include more recent bibliography. As a review, a broader subject matter is suggested. However, it is possible to focalize in groundnut and soil properties and include the effect of soil amendments.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>María Teresa Federici Rodriguez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Agronomic Research, Uruguay</b>