

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRID_116238</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Epidemiological Distribution of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) among Residents of Port Harcourt Metropolis in Rivers State Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, it is important for scientific community.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Yes. But it required to add about the magnitude of the problem to the 'Background' section of the abstract. The 'random selection' in the methods section of the abstract required clarification. What types of random selection are used? Conclusion part of the abstract also required the recommendation, what to do?</li> <li>Yes, somewhat. Method part required study period add to 'Study Design' sub-heading, sub-heading such as Sample size and sampling procedure, Study variables, Data collection instruments and procedures.</li> <li>No. The way of writing the results and discussion required major revision. It is not written in scientific way of writing. Way of writing results should be followed for example description of data should be written before tables in result parts and the tables are not prepared in the scientific way and what mean by 'remarks' in tables. For instance, in discussion 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph start with 'The Pie chart shows.....,' 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph 'The provided table 1 presents.....' etc was not the way of writing the discussion, it was the way of writing the result.</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ol> <p><b>Additional comments</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introduction should be improved by adding the magnitude and epidemiologic distribution of HIV globally to locally.</li> <li>Methods should require major revision as comment on number 4. Way of selection of the participants should be included.</li> <li>Results should be improved by using scientific way of writing.</li> <li>Discussion required major revision focused on objective of the study and finding of the study.</li> <li>Author should follow the guideline of the journal.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes. The article required major improvement of the language.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Debela Gela</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia</b>