

**ReviewForm1.7**

JournalName:	<a href="#">AsianJournalofResearchinInfectiousDiseases</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_AJRID_116238</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>EpidemiologicalDistributionofHumanImmunodeficiencyVirus (HIV)amongResidentsofPortHarcourtMetropolisinRiversStateNigeria</b>
TypeoftheArticle	<b>Casereport</b>

**ReviewForm1.7**

**PART1:ReviewComments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, a manuscript on the epidemiological distribution of HIV is important because it provides critical information for guiding public health policies, targeting interventions, monitoring trends, stimulating further research, and addressing global health challenges, especially for Residents of Port Harcourt Metropolis in Rivers State Nigeria.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, but could be further elucidated, especially in the methods section</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, I believe the manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, but some references could be more recent</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good and concise</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The abstract can be looked into, specifically the methods section which could be further elucidated, and a few statements rephrased.</li> <li>- Some statements in the discussion could be rephrased, also looking into some punctuation and grammar.</li> <li>- Some of the tables in the results section could be replaced with the graphs/pie charts for a more appealing look.</li> </ul> <p><b>NB:</b> Further explanations of the comments are on the manuscript.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Boitumelo Janet L. Zuze</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Botswana</b>