

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_116856
Title of the Manuscript:	Sentiment Analysis by Hierarchical Deep Neural Networks for Audience Opinion Mining
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Manuscript allowing them to share their original ideas and new discoveries with the scientific community as well as to the general position. So this manuscript is scientifically communicated.</p> <p>2. I request you to change the title related to your methodology that you have been used.</p> <p>3. The abstract is adequately addressed.</p> <p>4. Number the main and sub-sections to avoid any confusion.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Advice to include recent 4 to 5 latest references in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is adequate</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author not specified the explanation of the abbreviated words such as LSTM, HRNN etc. Formatting can be improved. More experimentation with varied data set is possible. Concept is good, whereas the uses of SenticWordNet are an old idea. however, the application seems strong for the sentiment analysis. Some of the fundamental or recent papers in the field are not cited. In terms of experimental technique, this paper is novel.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P. Kalarani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bharathiar University, India</b>