

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_116669</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms for Liver Disease Prediction: SVM, Logistic Regression, and Decision Tree</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Comparative Study</b>

)

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes The manuscript serves as a valuable resource for the medical science community, facilitating advancements in medicine production and fostering innovation among scientists and researchers with the use of AI &amp; ML Algorithms.</p> <p>I suggest redefining the title to enhance its attractiveness and better reflect the depth and innovation of the research work. A captivating title can significantly impact the visibility and appeal of the manuscript</p> <p>The abstract of the article requires significant revision to better reflect the key findings &amp; contributions of the research.I recommend reworking of the abstract to enhance its quality.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>The methodology section provides only rudimentary information about the algorithm, which does not meet the necessary level of detail. Further elaboration on the algorithm, including its logic formulas and model descriptions, is essential for a comprehensive understanding of the study's methodology.</p> <p>The research paper could benefit from the inclusion of a processing model, detailed steps, flowchart,. Incorporating these elements would provide readers with a clearer understanding of the methodology and enhance the reproducibility of the study.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hasan Phudinawala</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Royal College of Arts, Science &amp; Commerce, India</b>