

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_116735
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of carcass, growth performance, hematological and biochemical parameters of broiler chickens fed additive powder extract of onion bulb peel wastes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community, as it explores the potential use of onion bulb peel powder as a feed additive in broiler chicken diets. The findings indicate significant effects on growth performance, blood profile, and carcass features, suggesting potential benefits for poultry production.</p> <p>2. Create a shorter title with a maximum of 20 words. Replace "wastes" with "powder."</p> <p>3. The abstract is sufficiently comprehensive, but please add a conclusion. Please include the keywords "onion bulb peel" in the keyword section.</p> <p>4. Yes, but in the experimental design section, a table detailing the nutritional content of each treatment feed should be added as additional information for the reader.</p> <p>5. Yes, I do</p> <p>6. Use references from a maximum of the last 10 years (2014-2024)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the conclusion section, please make corrections, as what is written in the draft is a summary. Conclusions and summaries are different. In the conclusion, please include the recommended levels of onion bulb peel powder usage for farmers.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ariyani Tanti
Department, University & Country	Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia