

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_116456
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON RESEALED ERYTHROCYTES AS A NOVEL DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community as this is the ost possible method to administer toxic drugs which needs to cleared soon from the body.</p> <p>The paper's title and abstract are appropriate since they give a concise synopsis of the topics covered in the review article. The focus on resealed erythrocytes as a drug delivery system is indicated by the title, and the abstract enumerates the main ideas discussed in the paper, such as the erythrocytes' anatomy and physiology, drug loading techniques, benefits and drawbacks of utilizing resealed erythrocytes, and possible uses in drug delivery and illness treatment.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate as they provide a clear organization of the content.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct as this a field where more research required so completely relevant article for publication</p> <p>The references are not recent, the only found recent reference in the manuscript is from 2015(30<sup>th</sup> Reference)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The representation of article can be said scholarly and it is prepared in a manner in which it is easy to understanding can be accepted easily to the scholarly community.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aman Suresh.T</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sandip Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, India</b>