

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_117407
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding Aspirations for Higher Education among Mahadalit Students and Strategies for Overcoming Urban Marginalities in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) In substance, the article provides information about the efforts of universities to overcome attitudes of marginalization in urban areas. Of course, it is very useful in managing and developing cities in every country. Scientifically, it is very useful in the development of social sciences</p> <p>2) We think it would be more accurate if the title was written briefly and informatively. for example, efforts by universities to overcome marginalization in urban India</p> <p>3) The abstract is written informatively but needs to be added substantively to the research objectives and methods in collecting data and conclusions must answer the focus or objectives of the research. so the abstract is more comprehensive</p> <p>4) The structure of the manuscript must of course be adapted to the template, so it needs to be examined again</p> <p>5) In substance, the manuscript is written in accordance with scientific principles supported by reliable theory and data</p> <p>6) To enrich theories and scientific data, references from international or national journals need to be added. as well as enriched theories about marginality or theories of social phenomena</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used generally meets scientific English standards. However, care is needed in using terms so as not to obscure the meaning or meaning of the sentence</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, this article has things that need to be revised in order to perfect a good manuscript,</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saipul Wakit
Department, University & Country	Muhammadiyah University of Jember, Indonesia