

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_116906
Title of the Manuscript:	How PBL Enhance the Learning Effectiveness of Managerial Psychology Course
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Information about Research on the effectiveness of PBL in the field of education is important. PBL is an innovative type of learning that is widely applied in the world of education, especially in efforts to improve 21st century skills.. 2. Suggestion for title: Effectiveness of PBL in improving Managerial Psychology learning. 3. The abstract is complete but there are parts that are not related, namely the purpose of writing and the data collected. This part needs to be clarified again. 4. The subsections and structure of the text are appropriate, but there are several aspects that do not match the template, such as font size and type. 5. There are still several things in the manuscript that need to be revised and completed so that it meets the criteria of being "scientifically correct". 6. References related to the effectiveness of PBL need to be added <p>Additional comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The problems and research objectives are less relevant to the background. The background explains PBL problem-based learning, but the problem and objectives explain project-based learning. • Methods, titles, and backgrounds are inconsistent in terms of the learning model studied (PBL or PjBL). What learning model is being studied? problem-based learning or project-based learning. • The learning process using the PBL model needs to be explained briefly. • Suggestions that need to be studied are the effectiveness of the problem-based learning (PBL) model in learning Managerial Psychology. Effectiveness criteria can be seen based on: 1) student and lecturer activities; 2) how is the learning managed; 3) what are the learning outcomes; and 4) how students respond to learning with PBL 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of articles in language/English is good for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In this article, the term model is used inconsistently, so to make it better, the author needs to revise the abstract, introduction, methods, results and discussion, conclusions and references.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imas Cintamulya
Department, University & Country	University of PGRI Ronggolawe, Indonesia