

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_116559
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERNATIONAL TRADE, INSTITUTIONAL QUALITY AND POVERTY LEVEL: A CASE STUDY OF NIGERIA ECONOMY.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>somewhat not</p> <p>yes</p> <p>researcher have to add some illustration in the result part.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. In table 2, researcher shows the R^2, and adjusted R^2, F – statistic for fitted model but he/she has to explain the meaning of that included in the model.</p> <p>2. In equation 3, researcher use ϵ_t as error term but later describe it as μ_t. they have to use the same symbol.</p> <p>3. researcher can explain briefly the table 1 and table 2, so that any one can understand what it mean.</p> <p>4. Inside the sub heading 4.3, researcher should give the table number and title of the table of regression results (5th column may be p – value).</p> <p>5. In abstract, researcher mentioned that all components are significant but it was seen that some of the components have not significant impact and some have –ve and some have +ve impact on reducing the poverty, so researcher should clearly and correctly mention then in abstract and reset interpretation</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pinky Roy
Department, University & Country	Sibsagar University, India