

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_116410</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Critical Examination of the Factors that Contribute to Road Traffic Congestion in Lagos State</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Technical paper</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>good</p> <p>yes need more insert of conclusions of the study</p> <p>no</p> <p>yes</p> <p>it need more and more added new references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The paper need major revision</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1-Data collection part is missing from the article please insert it and state how you select the variables that considered to address congestion problem. This section lacks a detailed description of the data collection process. It would be beneficial to include specific information</p> <p>2- insert sample size for the study how you select (353) respondents and why</p> <p>3- in Table 1 you state different types of factors as factor 1, factor2 etc what these factors we do not know about what you talk State these factors</p> <p>4- Background section: This content of this section is quite chaotic. Some paragraphs are too long, and there is a mixture of various information without clear focus or organization of previous research paths. The content in this section lacks clarity regarding the previous research gap, and fails to effectively highlight the specific contributions and innovative aspects of this paper.</p> <p>5- The work is missing information regarding the data collection, we cannot know if the analysis is well or not because there is nothing to the input data in the paper.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Noor Moutaz Asmael</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mustansiriyah University, Iraq</b>