

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_116410
Title of the Manuscript:	Critical Examination of the Factors that Contribute to Road Traffic Congestion in Lagos State
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It can provide valuable information. 2. Yes 3. Abstract is comprehensive. It covers the problem statement, methodology, and findings. However, it can be improved grammatically. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Add more recent references from 2022 onwards. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No, it needs proofreading. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For example, the word 'however' is repeated twice in the first paragraph of the Introduction section. However, freight transport involves the movement of goods from one point to another through different modes of transport. However, in Nigeria, road transport has been a dominant mode of moving goods.</p> <p>The same sentence appears in Paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Introduction section "Congestion can be perceived as an... "</p> <p>In section 2.2, line 2, stated "as illustrated in Table 1"; Table 1 does not convey the written sentence. Please elaborate more.</p> <p>Section 2.2 discusses three forms of congestion; however, I do not see a connection between this section and the paper's findings.</p> <p>section 4, "90% of the people answered that worsening road conditions were a major cause why the traffic was worse," this sentence is not correct. There are several examples of sentences containing incorrect or improper word usage such as "a stunning 92.3% expressed that..."</p> <p>section 4 Result and Discussion, mentioned Table 1, however the explanation does not align with the provided details in the Table 1. The information in Table 1 is not clear.</p> <p>Please provide explanations about the variables in result and discussion section.</p> <p>In section 4.3.1, "The first three factors accounted for most of the total variability in the data</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>as shown by the cumulative proportion (89%) ..." How "89%" is achieved?</p> <p>In conclusion section, "The study identified the factors that cause road traffic congestion in Lagos State to be three factors. These factors comprise: ...", This sentence is ambiguous.</p> <p>The conclusion needs to be rewritten. The authors stated all the 21 factors, instead of explaining the findings.</p>	
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemeh Ahanin
Department, University & Country	University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia