

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_115336
Title of the Manuscript:	THE NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF Heterotis niloticus 'ECOMOG FISH' UNDER FRESH, SMOKED AND SUN-DRIED CONDITIONS IN CALABAR, CROSS RIVER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments Estimado colega editor, yo estoy claro con estos 7 puntos de sugerencias, en tal sentido yo los obvio debido a la alta calidad de los articulos que les estoy revisando, mas sin embargo las puedo responder.</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Si! El Manuscrito es importante a la comunidad científica y también para ampliar el conocimiento en dirección a el valor Nutricional del 'pescado ecomog' de (<i>Heterotis niloticus</i>) en condiciones frescas, ahumadas y secadas al sol en Calabar, estado de cross river, nigeria.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) A mi opinion, el titulo del articulo esta acorde con lo realizado en el estudio.</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? El resumen detalla perfectamente con detenimiento lo realizado en el artículo, así como los datos plasmados en las tablas</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? La Estructura del manuscrito si es la apropiada.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Si, es científicamente correcto. Con saber los procedimientos utilizados en el estudio nos damos cuenta el minucioso trabajo realizado y la sistematica.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Las Referencias utilizadas en el artículo son las necesarias. E incluso en los estudios se pueden utilizar unas cuantas. Esta tiene mejor presentación si esta numeradas en negritas. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The work is very well oriented, however, although the multiple details of writing are not relevant because they are minor, the work is well formulated, but although the research guides us to a study on the Nutritional value (Ash, Humidity , fiber, proteins, fats and Carbohydrates) of three preservative states: fresh, smoked and sun-dried of (Heterotis niloticus) (Ecomog fish) in Cross River State, Nigeria, using the A. O. A. C standard (2005).</p> <p>This work should be published immediately after making the final minor adjustments since its argument regarding the different standard contents of composition is very good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? En el trabajo de revision, y al traducir el articulo me doi cuenta que el idioma ingles esta perfectamente adecuado para las comunicaciones académicas.</p>	<p>The details are easy and quick to correct to give a better meaning to the writing of the article and thus expand its understanding, without giving relevance to the number of corrections detected and improved in order to perfect this article to be published.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments Este artículo nos muestra UN proceso de estudio sobre el consumo de (<i>Heterotis niloticus</i>) ya que es UN pez altamente nutritivo.</p>	<p>Examine the article carefully before publishing it, since the corrections, although few in number, do not affect the publication, therefore the final touches must be refined so that the satisfactory publication is carried out in favor of the knowledge of local communities, which indicates that The species (Heterotis niloticus) is widely consumed in mainly smoked conditions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Alarcon Rojas
Department, University & Country	Universidad Politecnica Territorial De Merida "Kleber Ramirez", Venezuela