

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_114848
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF FOUR HORMONAL PREPARATIONS IN THE ARTIFICIAL PROPAGATION OF <i>Heterotis niloticus</i> (Cuvier, 1829)
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it is important for the scientific community as it adds knowledge to the body of science. ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF FOUR HORMONAL PREPARATIONS IN THE ARTIFICIAL PROPAGATION OF <i>Heterotis niloticus</i> (Cuvier, 1829) is essential in aquaculture system and, food and nutrition security.</p> <p>Yes the title is very appropriate for this manuscript with a few changes as proposed in the manuscript</p> <p>The abstract needs to be reviewed to capture all aspects of this manuscript as advised in the manuscript</p> <p>The subsections and structure are adequate and well captured</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically sound and correct. But the author(s) need to put every section well written and captured well as advised in the manuscript</p> <p>The references are appropriate and sufficient. However, the author(s) should incorporate the reviewer's advice on references as advised in the manuscript. The author has a reference in bibliography section e.g. Woynarovich, E., and Horvath, L. (1980). which is not appearing in the text or body of the manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author(s) should do the review as advised and they should do a series of figures instead of all tables to show you that where you are reporting a significant difference there might actually be no significant difference. The English language used in the manuscript is adequate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alal George Wasonga
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya