

Performance of ZZ (*Zamioculcaszamiifolia*) cultivars on different organic potting mixture

ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out at the research cum instructional farm, Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, Medziphema (2022-2023). The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design (CRD), replicated thrice with 14 treatments and Factor I: Cultivar: Super Nova and Black Raven and Factor II: FYM, Vermicompost, Used tea leaves, Forest litter, Cocopeat, Perlite and Rice husk. The rooted leaf cuttings of ZZ cultivars were planted in different organic substrates along with Garden soil and sand in the ratio of 1:1:1. Among the cultivars, C₁ (Super Nova) was found to be significantly better than Black Raven. Amongst the organic substrates, T₅ (Garden soil + sand + cocopeat) was found to be statistically significantly in terms of all observed parameters except for plant height which was found to be in maximum in T₄ (Garden soil + sand + forest litter). The same treatment exhibited the highest profit (Rs. 12250) and benefit cost ratio (1.89) on ZZ cultivar Black Raven.

Keywords: ZZ (*Zamioculcaszamiifolia*), potting mixture, cocopeat, forest litter

Introduction

Zamioculcaszamiifolia, also called Zanzibar Gem, ZZ plant, ZuZu plant, Aroid palm and Eternity plant is an ornamental plant grown for its attractive glossy foliage. *Zamioculcas* is a member of the family of Araceae (Bentham and Hooker, 1883; Engler and Prantl, 1889) and originates from South Africa. *Zamioculcaszamiifolia* is the only known species of the *Zamioculcas* genus so far (Chen *et al.*, 2002). The aesthetic utility of ZZ plant is due to its special appearance, potential to flourish in low light conditions and its tolerance to drought conditions. The potential of the plant to resist drought and low light conditions has promoted its horticultural

significance at international level (Chen and Henny, 2003). ZZ plant has no known pest or disease incidences under interior conditions and has utmost tolerance to less light and drought conditions (Chen *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, it serves as a great house plant. It is drought tolerant due to the succulent rhizome that stores water until favourable conditions resumes. In drought condition, the above ground portion of the plant (leaflets and rachis) usually falls and leaves only the reserved swollen petiole base, like the pseudobulbs in orchids to aid the plant until the next irrigation or rain (Brown, 2000). Soil is the pool of nutrients for plants thereby making it the most important factor for plant growth. Mixing of organic substances altogether increases the efficiency than when used individually. Use of organic matter improves the soil health by

improving the soils aeration, buffering capacity, faster release of nutrients, better water and nutrient supply capacity and also increases the activity of beneficial soil microorganisms. Growing media has different origins and they take the place of soil and serve as a means of anchorage for the root system, supply water and nutrients for the plants and aerify the root area (Gruda *et al.*, 2006). A good media provides enough anchorage or prop the plant, provide water and nutrients, aid in oxygen diffusion to the plant roots and allow gaseous exchange between the roots and the atmosphere outside the root substratum (Argo 1998 and Abad *et al.*, 2002). The production and quality of potted ornamentals is highly affected by the growing media prepared in different compositions (Gheorghe and Monica., 2015).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted during 2022-2023 at the Research cum Instructional farm of School of Agricultural Science, Nagaland University, Medziphema located at 320 m above mean sea level at a latitude of 27°06'00" North and longitude of 93°37'12" East. The climatic condition of Medziphema is sub-tropical with high relative humidity and moderate temperature having medium to high rainfall. The temperature ranges from 25°C-31°C during summer and 10°C-20°C in winter. During monsoon, the area receives good amount of rainfall of average 3000 mm annum⁻¹.

Factor I: Cultivars

Cultivars	Super Nova (C1)
	Black Raven (C2)

Factor II: Organic substrates

Treatments	Organic substrates
T₁	Garden soil+sand+FYM
T₂	Garden soil+sand+Vermicompost
T₃	Garden soil+sand+Used tea leaves
T₄	Garden soil+sand+Forest litter
T₅	Garden soil+sand+Cocopeat
T₆	Garden soil+sand+Perlite
T₇	Garden soil+sand+ Rice husk

Before transplanting, polybags of 20 x 15 cm (L X B) dimensions were obtained. Garden soil, sand and various organic substrates, each measuring 500 gm were measured separately, thoroughly mixed together and filled in the polybags. The rooted plants were planted in the

centre of the polybags. The first watering was given immediately after transplanting the plant, thereafter irrigation was given on plant demand and the surrounding conditions. Weeding and earthing up was done as when required or deemed necessary. The observations were made on rhizome size at transplanting and 8 months, days to shoot emergence, days to unfurling of leaves, plant height at 3,6 and 8 months, number of shoots at 3,6 and 8 months, rachis girth at 3,6 and 8 months, growth rate at 3,6 and 8 months and benefit cost ratio. The recorded data was subjected to analysis of variance method (Gomez and Gomez.,1983) and tested against error mean square using Fisher Snedecor 'F' test at 0.5% level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rhizome size at transplanting and rhizome size

Among the cultivars, C₁ (Super Nova) recorded the maximum (2.84 cm and 3.97 cm) rhizome size. Kumar *et al.* (2020) reported that the difference among the cultivars might be attributed to differences in genetic makeup of the cultivars. Among the organic substrates, the maximum (2.83 cm and 3.65 cm) rhizome size were recorded in T₅ (Garden soil+sand+cocopeat), followed by T₆ (Garden soil+sand+perlite) with a rhizome size of 2.74 cm and 3.48 cm at transplanting and 8 months after planting. The minimum (1.78 cm and 2.84 cm) rhizome size were observed in T₃ (Garden soil+sand+used tea leaves) (Table 1). The maximum rhizome size in cocopeat might be due to the fact that although cocopeat is low in nitrogen, calcium and magnesium but it is high in phosphorous and potassium. Phosphorous is good for root growth and development (Gohil *et al.*,2018).

Table 1: Effect of potting mixture on rhizome size at transplanting and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivars (C)	Rhizome size (cm)	
	At transplanting	8 months after transplan ting
C ₁	2.84	3.97
C ₂	1.95	2.63
SEm (±)	0.14	0.10
CD a 5 %	0.40	0.30
Organic substrates (T)		
T ₁	2.27	3.29
T ₂	2.29	3.22
T ₃	1.78	2.84
T ₄	2.38	3.29
T ₅	2.83	3.65
T ₆	2.74	3.48
T ₇	2.50	3.35
SEm (±)	0.23	0.20

CD at 5 %	0.67	0.57
Interaction (C X T)		
SEm (\pm)	0.37	0.28
CD at 5 %	NS	NS

Days to shoot emergence

Cultivar Super Nova took minimum (67.97) days to shooting which might be due to difference in vegetative attributes of different genotypes exhibiting varied growth rate and genetic make up (Thakur *et al.*, 2018). Among the substrates, T₅ (Garden soil+sand +cocopeat) took minimum days (62.89) for shoot emergence while maximum (88.61) days to shoot emergence was recorded in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves) (Table 2). Cocopeat provides a better texture to the growing media and also prevents compaction (Ghosh *et al.*, 2021) which might have contributed to the early shoot emergence.

Days to unfurling of leaves

The minimum (75.54) days to unfurling of leaves was observed in C₁ (Super Nova). Arora and Khanna (1985) and Rani *et al.* (2007) reported that supremacy of some genotypes over other genotypes is because of the variation in genotypes of different varieties. T₅ (Garden soil+sand +cocopeat) took minimum (71.67) days to unfurl the leaves which was followed by T₆ (Garden soil+sand +perlite) which took 73.00 days. The maximum days (95.00) to unfurling of leaves was recorded in plants grown in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves) (Table 2). Cocopeat has the potential to stock and liberate nutrients to plants for an increased length of time (Swetha *et al.*, 2014). Cocopeat has good physical characteristics, high total pore space, elevated water content, low shrinkage, low bulk density and slow biodegradation (Evans *et al.*, 1996; Prasad, 1997) which might have contributed to its superiority as compared to other substrates.

Table 2: Effect of potting mixture on days to shoot emergence and unfurling of leaves

Cultivars (C)	Days to shoot emergence	Days to unfurling of leaves
C ₁	67.97	75.54
C ₂	82.84	87.98
SEm (\pm)	3.71	3.27
CD a 5 %	10.74	9.47
Organic substrates (T)		
T ₁	72.56	79.33
T ₂	83.50	83.50
T ₃	88.61	95.00
T ₄	79.67	87.22
T ₅	62.89	71.67
T ₆	64.78	73.00
T ₇	75.83	82.61
SEm (\pm)	6.93	6.12

CD at 5 %	20.09	17.72
Interaction (C X T)		
SEm (\pm)	9.81	8.65
CD at 5 %	NS	NS

Plant height at 3,6 and 8 months after transplanting

The maximum plant height (11.87 cm, 14.28 cm and 16.60 cm) was recorded in C₁ (Super Nova). Kumari and Kumar (2015) reported that differences in plant height amongst hybrids is attributed to the hereditary traits or the existing conditions of the environment of the growing location. Amongst the treatment, T₄ (Garden soil+sand +forest litter) exhibited the maximum height (10.06 cm, 13.30 cm and 14.89 cm), followed by T₇ (Garden soil+sand + rice husk) recording 9.99 cm, 11.28 cm and 12.87 cm at 3,6 and 8 months of planting. The minimum plant height (8.23 cm, 8.69 cm and 10.28 cm) was noted in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves).The maximum plant height in forest litter maybe attributed to the fact that flavonoids which are known to play a role in attracting beneficial microbes such as rhizobia may remain in plant tissue after senescence and affect plant growth by scavenging free radicals and improving stress tolerance (Barazani and Friedman,2001).

Table 3: Effect of potting mixture on plant height at 3, 6 and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivars (C)	Plant height (cm)		
	3 months	6 months	8 months
C ₁	11.87	14.28	16.60
C ₂	6.02	7.34	8.20
SEm (\pm)	0.46	1.00	0.54
CD a 5 %	1.32	1.54	1.56
Organic substrates (T)			
T ₁	9.36	10.41	12.00
T ₂	9.75	10.96	12.55
T ₃	8.23	8.69	10.28
T ₄	10.06	13.30	14.89
T ₅	8.55	10.17	11.76
T ₆	9.65	10.83	12.42
T ₇	9.99	11.28	12.87
SEm (\pm)	0.85	1.00	1.01
CD at 5 %	2.47	2.89	2.92
Interaction (C XT)			
SEm (\pm)	1.21	1.41	1.43
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS

Number of shoots at 3,6 and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivar C₁ recorded the maximum number of shoots (1.13, 1.41 and 1.93) and treatment T₅(Garden soil+sand+cocopeat) revealed the maximum number of shoots (1.26, 1.71 and 2.54) while the minimum number of shoots (0.73, 1.06 and 1.31) was observed in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves). More production of shoots in cocopeat media might be due to an increase in soil porosity, water retention and increase in ion exchange capacity (Devidas,2012).

Table 4: Effect of potting mixture on number of shoots at 3, 6 and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivars (C)	Number of shoots		
	3 months	6 months	8 months
C ₁	1.13	1.41	1.93
C ₂	0.77	1.17	1.73
SEm (±)	0.10	0.13	0.16
CD a 5 %	0.28	NS	NS
Organic substrates (T)			
T ₁	0.88	1.11	1.70
T ₂	0.82	1.22	1.66
T ₃	0.73	1.06	1.31
T ₄	0.96	1.33	1.77
T ₅	1.26	1.71	2.54
T ₆	1.07	1.39	2.17
T ₇	0.93	1.22	1.64
SEm (±)	0.18	0.24	0.30
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	0.42
Interaction (C X T)			
SEm (±)	0.25	0.34	0.42
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS

Rachis girth at 3,6 and 8 months after transplanting

The maximum rachis girth (0.17 cm, 0.29 cm and 0.36 cm) was observed in C₁ and T₅ (Garden soil+sand +cocopeat) recording a maximum value of (0.22 cm, 0.29 cm and 0.35cm) on all days of observation. The minimum rachis girth (0.13 cm,0.22 cm and 0.28 cm) was noted in plants grown in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves).The high porosity feature of cocopeat aided to maintain a balanced water retention and aeration for enhanced intake of nutrients in the growth medium confined in a limited and enclosed space (Singh *et al.*, 2015) which might have contributed to the good growth of the plant.

Table 5: Effect of potting mixture on rachis girth at 3, 6 and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivars (C)	Rachis girth (cm)		
	3 months	6 months	8 months
C ₁	0.17	0.29	0.36
C ₂	0.16	0.23	0.27

SEm (\pm)	0.02	0.01	0.01
CD a 5 %	0.05	0.02	0.02
Organic substrates (T)			
T ₁	0.15	0.24	0.29
T ₂	0.17	0.27	0.32
T ₃	0.13	0.22	0.28
T ₄	0.15	0.26	0.31
T ₅	0.22	0.29	0.35
T ₆	0.18	0.28	0.34
T ₇	0.16	0.26	0.32
SEm (\pm)	0.03	0.01	0.01
CD at 5 %	NS	0.03	0.03
Interaction (C X T)			
SEm (\pm)	0.05	0.02	0.01
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS

Growth rate at 3,6 and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivar Super Nova recorded the maximum growth rate (15.97%, 30.31% and 36.86%) amongst both the cultivars. Treatment wise, T₅ (Garden soil+sand +cocopeat), recorded the maximum growth rate (16.52%, 34.47% and 40.72%) followed by T₆ (Garden soil+sand +perlite) which recorded growth rates of 15.10%, 31.44% and 37.36% while the minimum growth rate (11.00%, 21.37% and 29.83%) was noted in T₃ (Garden soil+sand +used tea leaves) at 3, 6 and 8 months after transplanting.

Table 6: Effect of potting mixture on growth rate at 3, 6 and 8 months after transplanting

Cultivars (C)	Growth rate (%)		
	3 months	6 months	8 months
C ₁	15.97	30.31	36.86
C ₂	11.11	25.01	32.97
SEm (\pm)	0.77	1.62	0.94
CD at 5 %	2.24	4.69	2.71
Organic substrates (T)			
T ₁	12.39	26.69	34.48
T ₂	13.40	24.40	23.23
T ₃	11.00	21.37	29.83
T ₄	12.35	25.26	34.47
T ₅	16.52	34.47	40.72
T ₆	15.10	31.44	37.36
T ₇	14.04	29.97	35.33
SEm (\pm)	1.45	3.03	1.75
CD at 5 %	4.19	8.78	5.08
Interaction (C X T)			

SEm (\pm)	2.05	4.29	2.48
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS

Benefit cost ratio

The highest benefit cost ratio (1.94) was recorded in T₅ (Garden soil+sand+cocopeat) with net returns 9700/100. The least benefit cost ratio and the least net returns was recorded in T₃ (Garden soil+sand+ Used tea leaves).

Table 7: B:C ratio of cultivars Super Nova and Black Raven

Organic substrate	B:C ratio	
	Super Nova	Black Raven
T ₁	1.85	1.82
T ₂	1.79	1.77
T ₃	1.68	1.74
T ₄	1.86	1.84
T ₅	1.94	1.89
T ₆	1.89	1.85
T ₇	1.76	1.76

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the growth of Super Nova is faster and superior than Black Raven irrespective of the growing medium. The growth of Super Nova and Black Raven was found to do well in potting mixture consisting of Garden soil+Sand+Cocopeat in terms of rhizome size, shoot emergence, unfurling of leaves, number of shoots, rachis girth and growth rate even when grown in the same environmental and potting mixture. The same treatment gave the highest B:C ratio. However for the plant height, the treatment combination of Garden soil+Sand+Forest litter was found better for both the cultivars. Therefore, use of garden soil + sand+ cocopeat for growing ZZ plants is recommended.

REFERENCES

- Abad, M., Noguera, P., Puchades, R., Maquieira, A., Noguera, V. 2002. Physico-Chemical and Chemical Properties of Some Coconut Dusts For Use as a Peat Substitute for Containerized Ornamental Plants. *Bioresource Technology*. **82**(3):241-245.
- Argo, W.R. 1998. Root medium chemical properties. *Hort. Technol.* **8**:846-894.
- Arora, J.S., Khanna, K. 1985. Evaluation of gladiolus cultivars. *Journal of research of Punjab Agricultural University*. **22**(4): 655-662.
- Barazani, O., Friedman, J. 2001. Allelopathic bacteria and their impact on higher plants. *Crit. rev. Microbiol.* **27**:41-55.
- Bentham, G., Hooker, J.D. 1883. Genera plantarum. Vol 3. L. Reeve and Co., London.
- Bown, D. 2000. Aroids: Plants of the Arum family, 2nd ed. Timber Press, Portland, Ore.

- Chen, J., Henny, R.J. 2003. ZZ: A Unique Tropical Ornamental Foliage Plant, *HortTechnology*. **13**(3):458-462.
- Chen, J., Henny, R.J. McConell, D.B. 2002. Development of New Foliage Plant Cultivars, *Trend in New Crops and New Uses*, ASHS Press, Alexandria: 446-452.
- Devidas, K.B. 2012. Effect of IBA and rooting media on growth of pomegranate cuttings (*Punicagranatum* L.). Msc Thesis submitted to Vasant Rao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Prabhani, Maharashtra, India.
- Engler, A., Prantl, K. 1889. Araceae. *Dienaturlichen pflanzenfamilien* **2**: 102-159.
- Ghosh, M., Talukdar, M.C. 2021. Standardization of growing media for ornamental plant species grown in a vertical garden system in Assam. *International journal of current microbiology and applied sciences*. **10**(10):363-368.
- Gohil, P., Gohil, M., Rajatiya, J., Halepotara, F., Solanki, M., Malam, V.R., Barad, R. 2018. Role of growing media for ornamental plants. *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience*. **6**(1): 1219-1224.
- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A. 1983. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research* (2nd edition). John Wiley and Sons, New York. Pp 20-29.
- Gruda, N., Prasad, M., Maher, M.J. 2006. Soilless culture. In: R. Lal (ed) *Encyclopedia of soil sciences*. Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Kumar, S., Tirkey, T., Singh, R., Basant, D.K., Markam, I.R. 2020. Performance of different gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflora* L.) cultivars under Chattisgarh plains agroclimatic zone conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **9**(10): 291-293.
- Kumari, K., Kumar, S. 2015. Evaluation performance of gladiolus varieties for vegetative, floral and corm & cormel characters under Tarai conditions. *International journal of Tropical Agriculture*. **33**(2): 1617-1620.
- Popescu, G.C., Popescu, M. 2015. Effects of Different Potting Growing Media for *Petuniagrandiflora* and *Nicotiana alata* Link & Otto on Photosynthetic Capacity, Leaf Area and Flowering Potential. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*. **75**(1):21-26.
- Prasad, M. 1997. Physical, chemical and biological properties of coir dust. *Acta Horticulturae*. **450**:21-29.
- Rani, R., K., Prasad, K.K., Ranjan, R. 2007. Study on varietal performance in gladiolus. *The Orissa journal of Horticulture*. **35**(2): 35-38.
- Singh, S., Dubey, R.K., Kukal, S.S. 2015. Performance of cocopeat amended media mixtures on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum. *Journal of Applied Horticulture*. **17**(3):230-235.
- Swetha, S., Padmalatha, T., Dhanumjaya, K. and Shankar, A.S. 2014. Effect of potting media on growth and quality in *Aglaonema*. *The Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. **9**(1):90-93.
- Swetha, S., Padmalatha, T., Dhanumjaya, K. and Shankar, A.S. 2014. Effect of potting media on growth and quality in *Aglaonema*. *The Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. **9**(1):90-93.
- Thakur, N., Nair, S.A., Kumar, R., Bharathi, T.U., Dhananjaya, M.V., Venugopalan, R. 2018. Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthemagrandiflora* Tzevelev) for desirable horticultural traits. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* **7**(8): 565-574.