

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_116583
Title of the Manuscript:	RHD GENOTYPING TO RESOLVE WEAK AND DISCREPANT RHD PHENOTYPES : THE "SERENISSIMA" EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The subject of the manuscript is of great and health importance for the human. Studies indicate that transfusion recipients D partial are at risk for forming alloanti-D when exposed to conventional RhD-positive blood units.</p> <p>The title of the article suitable</p> <p>The authors wrote a good article. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and structure is fine.</p> <p>Yes, Image quality and data ok. Some details are highlighted in the text in the attached file, it is up to the authors and other reviewers to decide whether or not to accept them.</p> <p>The references should be followed to the required format of the journal. Please refer to the manuscript reviewed comments.</p> <p>I suggest, before final acceptance, to go through a review of the areas of human health and animal welfare. This is very important.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, Good work</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>May be accepted after revision</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Suday Prasad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bihar Agricultural University, India</b>