

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_116583
Title of the Manuscript:	RHD GENOTYPING TO RESOLVE WEAK AND DISCREPANT RHD PHENOTYPES : THE “SERENISSIMA” EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The findings are important both scientifically and clinically as the results may offer a new approach for managing RhD negative patients.</p> <p>2. The title is informative as well as comprehensive to cover the results.</p> <p>3. Abstract; discussion is too long and some parts are redundant. A conclusion should be included. Specially a clinical suggestion may be needed.</p> <p>4. The structural design of the manuscript is acceptable as the sections follow a logical sequence, although thorough professional editing of the manuscript is mandatory.</p> <p>5. In terms of scientific accuracy: a. In methodology section PCR menu, PCR conditions, primer sequences, product sizes, detection method of the products, validation method of the product sequences should be mentioned. In general, the authors should provide a validation method for their genotyping results as the gold standard for genotyping is DNA sequencing.</p> <p>6. The references seem to be sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language level is suitable and most of the text can be understood well, although small and minor editings should be considered for the whole manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Reza Zamanian
Department, University & Country	Reproductive Biomedicine Research Center, Royan Institute for Reproductive Biomedicine, Iran