

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_116835</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Teachers' language Use in EFL classroom Interaction: A Qualitative Study Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript does provide the context of the study, but it fails to illustrate the significant needs and contributions of the conducted study.</p> <p>A Discovery on Indonesian Teachers' Practices of Language Used in EFL Classroom</p> <p>There is no clarification on the problem or issue, and the study setting is not well explained.</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>need proofreading on the manuscript. A lot of syntax, grammar and spelling errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The authors did not clarify the study setting</li> <li>-“descriptive qualitative analysis” change to thematic analysis</li> </ul> <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The problem statement lacks sufficient depth in addressing key issues. While the researcher acknowledges the limited usage of the English language, there is a need for further elaboration on how these issues manifest empirically and warrant study. The paper fails to identify any significant gaps in the research that need to be addressed. Kindly conduct the systematic literature review to clarify on the gaps of the present study.</li> <li>- The study lacks inclusion criteria for the selected participants, which could affect the generalizability of the findings.</li> <li>-The sampling procedures are not clearly delineated. How were the samples for the study chosen?</li> <li>-The data collection method lacks rigor. What type of interview tool was utilized? Was it semi-structured, adapted, or self-created? Additionally, could you provide insights into the interview protocols used during the study?</li> <li>-The classroom observation method is inadequately explained. What type of instrument was employed for observation? Were field notes or observational checklists utilized during data collection?</li> <li>-The trustworthiness of the study remains unaddressed, leaving uncertainties regarding the reliability and validity of the findings.</li> <li>-The procedures for data collection are not adequately explained, leaving gaps in understanding how data were gathered.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>-What types of coding procedures used in the study? Deductive coding? Inductive Coding?</p> <p>Findings and Discussion -Sufficiently addressed. However, it is suggested for the author to provide graphic representation of the emerging codes. It makes the study to have a clearer narration.</p> <p>Conclusion -The suggestions for future research were not clarified in this section. -The author also did not provide the limitation of the present study. -The significant contribution of the present study remains unaddressed. Is this study intends to provide some kind of module or theoretical model on the language used in EFL classroom?</p>	
--	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anwar Farhan Bin Mohamad Marzaini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>