

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_116699
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis of the relationship between Fed interest rate hikes and financial crises in emerging market economies
Type of the Article	Review article based on secondary sources

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The paper is interesting to explore and can be of value to the research community</p> <p>THE EFFECT OF THE FED INTEREST RATE HIKES ON THE FINANCIAL CRISIS – EVIDENCE FROM EMERGING MARKET ECONOMIES The abstract should be more comprehensive and should also include the methodology of the research and results of the findings. It should be around 150 words</p> <p>The subsections contains only introduction and analysis. The other important subsections such as research methodology, review of literature and conclusion are missing.</p> <p>The script should be supported by more references and the sources should be mentioned to make it scientifically appropriate</p> <p>The references provided are not sufficient enough to get a paper published in a journal. Add some ten to fifteen more references to show that extensive research has been carried out before writing the paper</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are many grammatical errors in the manuscript which needs to be checked and corrected. Use Grammarly software to check the grammatical errors. Although some of them are highlighted in yellow for your reference</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. A research paper must contain research methodology section which highlights the research methods adopted by the author for collecting the data. ii. The figures do not contain the sources from where they are extracted. Mention the sources of the data. iii. The script does not contain the references after each paragraph which could indirectly mean that the data is plagiarised. Kindly mention the references after each paragraph with a year in which it got published. iv. The research paper did not mention the sources from where the data is taken. It should mention that whether it is a primary based or secondary databased study. v. the paper should also contain an appropriate conclusion for the reader to understand the inferences drawn from the study. vi. the paper should mention the type of study in the research methodology section 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syeda Amtul Mahaboob
Department, University & Country	Mesco Institute of Management and Computer Sciences, Osmania University, India