

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115682
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTIVITY AND ECONOMICS OF HYDROPONIC FODDERS AS INFLUENCED BY HARVESTING TIME
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Green fodder is a cost-effective source of nutrients for dairy animals and can help lower feed expenses. Thus, a study of the influence of harvesting time is deemed required in order to continue shortening the number of days of cultivation. There is a need to improve green fodder yield by increased usage of improved fodder technologies, such as shortening harvesting time, as recommended by the study. The title is appropriate. Additional recommendation on the abstract. In introduction part, kindly add the objectives of the study as subsection. Yes, based on the data presented. I would like to suggest the replace the 2014 below reference to be replace. As for the economic value for international readers, I would like to suggest an equivalent US Dollars may use. Example Rs.1610 (US\$ _____) 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Melissa R. Medina
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines