

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_115698
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Ethanolic Leaf Extract of Piper Guineense (Uziza) on the Kidneys of Paraquat-Induced Adult Male Wistar Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript important for scientific community. Paraquat is a known harmful compound. Uziza is a component that has historically stood out with its antioxidant and healing effects. It has been found important that it can alleviate the possible consequences of harmful effects on plants and fruits where pesticides have been used, especially in term zones and where there is no adequate control.</p> <p>2. The title Article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The format of the article is appropriate, but the title of the discussion section should have been.</p> <p>5. It is correct.</p> <p>6. References could be more up to date. In particular, there is only 1 reference from the last 5 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is suitable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehmet Irfan Karadede
Department, University & Country	Izmir Katip Çelebi Üniversitesi, Türkiye