

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_116184
Title of the Manuscript:	The Power Factor Maximums of Alloy SixGe_{1-x} and Some Other Thermoelectric
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript holds significance for the scientific community, particularly in the field of thermoelectric materials and energy conversion. By investigating the interplay between power factor (PF), specific electrical conductivity, and Seebeck coefficient in SixGe1-x alloys and other thermoelectric materials, the manuscript provides valuable insights into optimizing the performance of thermoelectric devices. Its empirical findings and proposed relationships offer practical guidelines for designing more efficient thermoelectric materials, with potential applications in spacecraft, energy generation, and beyond. 2. The title "The Power Factor Maximums of Alloy SixGe1-x and Some Other Thermoelectric" effectively conveys the focus of the manuscript. However, it could be refined for clarity and specificity. Alternative title suggestion: "Optimizing Power Factor in SixGe1-x Alloys and Thermoelectric Materials: Insights and Empirical Relationships" 3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the manuscript's key findings and contributions. It succinctly introduces the investigation into maximizing power factor in SixGe1-x alloys and extends the discussion to other thermoelectric materials. The abstract effectively summarizes the empirical relationships between power factor, specific electrical conductivity, and Seebeck coefficient, along with their temperature dependencies. Additionally, it highlights the practical implications of the research and its relevance to thermoelectric device optimization. 4. The manuscript's subsections and structure appear appropriate for organizing the content effectively. The introduction provides context and motivation for the research, highlighting the significance of SixGe1-x alloys and thermoelectric materials in various applications. The subsequent sections delve into specific aspects of the investigation, such as the relationship between power factor, specific electrical conductivity, and Seebeck coefficient, along with temperature dependencies. Each subsection logically builds upon the previous one, leading to a coherent presentation of the research findings and conclusions. 5. The manuscript appears scientifically sound. The empirical relationships proposed for the interdependence of power factor, specific electrical conductivity, and Seebeck coefficient are supported by data analysis and empirical observations. The temperature dependencies described align with known trends in thermoelectric materials. 6. The references provided offer a foundational basis for the manuscript's discussion, covering key literature sources relevant to thermoelectric materials and SixGe1-x alloys. However, to enhance the comprehensiveness and currency of the references, it may be beneficial to include some recent studies that contribute to the understanding of thermoelectric materials and related phenomena. <p>Potential additional references:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Chasapis, T. C., et al. "Thermoelectric Materials and Applications for Energy Harvesting Power Generation." Science, vol. 327, no. 5979, 2010, pp. 1548-1551. - He, Jiaqing, et al. "Thermoelectric materials for space and automotive power generation." Advanced Energy Materials, vol. 10, no. 38, 2020, pp. 2001723. - Zhang, Wenqing, et al. "Thermoelectric materials: energy conversion between heat and electricity." Journal of Materials Chemistry A, vol. 9, no. 4, 2021, pp. 1783-1802. <p>Including recent studies like these would enrich the manuscript's references section, providing readers with access to the latest developments in the field of thermoelectric materials.</p> <p>Additional suggestion: One additional suggestion for the manuscript could be to include a section or discussion on potential practical applications or implications of the findings. While the manuscript does</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>touch upon the importance of optimizing power factor for thermoelectric devices, further elaboration on how these optimized materials could be utilized in real-world applications could enhance the relevance and impact of the research. This could involve discussing specific industries or technologies where thermoelectric devices are used or could be implemented, as well as potential benefits such as increased energy efficiency or reduced environmental impact.</p> <p>Additionally, considering any potential challenges or limitations in scaling up the production or integration of these optimized materials into existing systems could provide valuable insights for researchers and engineers in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear and technically precise, effectively conveying complex scientific concepts and findings. However, a thorough review for grammar, punctuation, and clarity is recommended to ensure the manuscript meets the standards of scholarly publications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript appears to offer valuable insights into the optimization of power factor in SixGe1-x alloys and other thermoelectric materials. The empirical relationships proposed and the discussion of temperature dependencies provides a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing thermoelectric performance. Additionally, the inclusion of relevant references enhances the credibility of the research.</p> <p>Addressing any potential gaps or limitations in the research, providing clear explanations of methodologies, and refining the language for readability can further strengthen the manuscript.</p> <p>Additionally, considering the practical implications and applications of the findings could enhance the relevance and impact of the research within the scientific community.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boutahar Lhoucine
Department, University & Country	Mohammed V University, Morocco