

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115590
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of maize ( <i>Zea mays</i> ) substitution by sprouted off-season sorghum ( <i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L. Moench) on the growth and physiological response of broiler chickens ( <i>Gallus gallus</i> ) in Maroua (Far North, Cameroon)
Type of the Article	agricultural sciences

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, it is important, as it looks into alternatives to feed for poultry in light of the current circumstances of feed scarcity and high prices.</p> <p>2- The title is fairly appropriate and I suggest using that title: Evaluation of the use of by sprouted off-season sorghum (<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> L. Moench) as a substitute for maize (<i>Zea mays</i>) of broiler chickens.</p> <p>3- Yes, comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Very suitable.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- References are sufficient, but it is preferable to change the following references to more recent references: (Balla A., 1999. , El Adawy., 2002. , Koehler., 2007. , S. B. Romdhane., 2000.) It is important to use references that are not more than 15 years old. - It is preferable to review the references and it is better to arrange them from A to Z.</p> <p>7- A good manuscript, but it lacks an economic feasibility study at international prices to determine the feasibility of its application in the field of commercial production.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Updating some of the references mentioned above - Making an economic feasibility comparison with the prices of used feed materials. (This increases the value of the manuscript and the possibility of using it in commercial production for the poultry industry)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Albert Romil Noshy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Matrouh University, Egypt</b>