

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115961
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical consequences of climate change on agriculture: Causes and effects
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 Have value to a certain extent. 2 Suitable 3 The abstract of the article is to some extent comprehensive (for article content only). Personally, I should add the author's opinion on the existing problem and the technical method to solve the problem, such as the drawbacks, possible improvement measures, etc. 4 There is no problem in general, but we can go further in the details. The logical breakdown of the impact on agriculture can be made clearer, with some factors being combined and some factors being put into other subheadings. Structurally, individual insights and vision should be enriched. 5 correct 6 The references are sufficient and recent. Suggests: (1) The article will be even better if more of the author's thoughts and insights are added at the end. For example, the existing problems of current technological development, improved methods, emerging cutting-edge technologies and so on. The prospect is put forward, and there is great potential to analyze some general directions. (2) In terms of carbon emissions, what cannot be ignored is to consider the contribution of less developed countries to carbon emissions in the future. As some countries develop, their emissions increase and may tend to decrease, while those of less developed countries will increase more and more. If these analyses are added, the science and rigor of the article can be further improved. (3) The chart would have been better if it had been prettier.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There is no problem in understanding</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yongqi Wang
Department, University & Country	College of Tobacco, Henan Agricultural University, China