

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_116452
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of Agronomic fortification of pigeonpea with Zn and Fe on growth and yield parameter and yield of pigeonpea”
Type of the Article	Technical Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the experiment carried out and the manuscript written is of some importance to the scientific community 2. Few changes to be made in title, refer to the annotated file attachment and to be seen as track changes 3. Yes, the abstract of article is written in a comprehensive manner 4. No, the materials and method parts need to be restructured with proper headings, as now looks very clumsy and currently written as single paragraph 5. Yes it is scientifically correct 6. No, references need to be updated to the year 2024, most of it are old references 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes the language is appropriate for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The authors are suggested to refer the corrections as annotated in the track changes of the original file submitted and the same is being attached along with the name Annotated Original file as attachment for other corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohanadoss Ponraj
Department, University & Country	The Copperbelt University, Zambia