

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_116429
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYZING DAPHNIA AND BLACK SOLDIER FLY PERFORMANCE FOR FISH PROTEIN
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Can be changed suitably</p> <p>Should be re-written with salient results and 4-5 keywords</p> <p>The entire Manuscript has to be re-written with proper sentences with technical terms. Spelling mistakes should be corrected. Triplicates should be used for treatments, formulated feed is better in stead of raw larvae, what is the acceptance by fish? Feeding at what % of body weight? Statistical analysis is missing References are okay</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not good. Should be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The entire Manuscript has to be re-written with proper sentences with technical terms. Spelling mistakes should be corrected. Triplicates should be used for treatments, formulated feed is better in stead of raw larvae, what is the acceptance by fish? Feeding at what % of body weight? Statistical analysis is missing</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravindragouda Patil
Department, University & Country	KVAFSU, India