

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_115767
Title of the Manuscript:	Harnessing Cultural Soft Power: A Study of South Korea's Global Influence through the Korean Wave
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript itself has potential to serve the scientific community well, considering the topic's popularity nowadays which has increased more everyday - It seems like the method isn't outlined in the abstract. Maybe you could consider adding a brief overview? It could help readers get a better grasp of your approach from the get-go! - It looks like there aren't any references that are clearly cited in the manuscript. To give your work more credibility and align it with the standards of a scientific journal, it would be better to clearly incorporate some relevant references. - Your manuscript is off to a great start, but refining the subsections and overall structure could really enhance readability and flow. - Your manuscript presents fascinating insights, but it would greatly benefit from a more explicit explanation of the methods employed. By providing clearer details on your methodology, you'll enhance the transparency and credibility of your research. - Your manuscript has a lot of potential, but to further solidify your arguments, it would be beneficial to include more factual data and references. By incorporating additional sources, you'll not only strengthen the credibility of your work but also provide readers with a more comprehensive understanding of the topic. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Your language is quite lively and engaging, which is great for drawing readers in. However, for a scientific journal, it's important to ensure the language maintains a formal and precise tone throughout.</p> <p>While your manuscript shows great promise, there are still a few areas where we can polish up the grammar and punctuation. Don't worry, this is all part of the refining process!</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ni Putu Anindhitha Ayesha Sandra
Department, University & Country	University of Surabaya, Indonesia