

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_115985 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A study of the effectiveness of teachers training for secondary schools in Sindh province |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. I find the manuscript significant to the scientific community because the research results can help educational institutions choose suitable teachers training for secondary schools in Sindh province. This helps improve the teaching and learning quality in secondary school.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. The article's abstract is comprehensive, but a bit long. In my opinion, a standard abstract only needs to convey two main issues: the objectives and results of the research to help readers have an overview of the brief content of the article.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but they are not designed logically and scientifically. To me, the writer should add the number before each section and add more items like research design, research instrument, research, and participants, so that the readers can follow and understand the content of the manuscript easily.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>7. The references are sufficient and recent</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. The language English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>In general, the manuscript is significant to the community. The title as well as the structure are rather appropriate. However, the author can a little bit shorten the abstract and add some small items as mentioned above to further improve the quality of the manuscript. To me, this article should be published because it will be a useful resource for other researchers.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Name: | Pham Thi Chuong |
| Department, University & Country | Nguyen Truong to Secondary School, Vietnam |