

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRNH_116477</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A STUDY OF THE PREVALENCE OF ANALGESIC ABUSE AMONG MECHANICS (FITTING WORKERS) AT SUAME MAGAZINE</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important and can be considered for publication. However, certain modifications are required, as highlighted in the manuscript.</p> <p>The alternative title can be "A cross-sectional study on prevalence of analgesic abuse among mechanics at Suamme Magazine, Ghana".</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive, but needs to be redrafted. Suggested changes have been highlighted in the abstract.</p> <p>Subsections and structure are correct. However, authors need to include Aim/ Objectives following or at the end of Introduction.</p> <p>Certain portions need to be modified as mentioned in highlighted portions and comments in the Manuscript. The Aim/ Objectives need to be revisited. Method of drafting the Questionnaire need to be included. The Figures need to be revisited. Conclusion maybe modified by authors with more specific recommendations.</p> <p>References seem sufficient for the article in its present form.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language of the manuscript needs to be looked into. Corrections are required for scholarly publication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shibajee Debbarma
Department, University & Country	AIIMS Patna, India