

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_116143
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION AND ANTIMICROBIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY PATTERN OF MICROORGANISMS FROM PORT HARCOURT CORRECTIONAL CENTER, RIVERS STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b>, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. Because this manuscript sheds light on microbial profiles and antibiotic resistance in correctional facilities, crucial for public health strategies and addressing healthcare challenges within confined populations, offering valuable insights for infectious disease control and management globally.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, the title of the article is suitable. No, I do have any alternative Title in my mind. This title is suitable.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, I think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>All is ok but there are some grammatical/Spelling issue, Such as centres, Demonstrated repectively. centre.</b></p> <p>In Material and Method not describe this word what is <b>600</b>,</p> <p>Temperature <b>350°C</b> is not suitable for incubation at this temperature bacteria will not exist.</p> <p>Not mention which edition guide lines you use in this compare of AST <b>CLSI guidelines</b></p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Safdar Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pakistan</b>