



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. what post hoc test was used in the statistical evaluation?</p> <p>2. table 2 - the average values given in the table are not statistically assessed in terms of the significance of differences,</p> <p>3. one of the average values has a standard deviation greater than the average itself (<math>4.00 \pm 4.38</math>), so the relative error exceeds 100% - is this correct?</p> <p>4. is table 3 necessary if it contains the same data as in table 2, only expressed in %?</p>	<p>1. ANOVA with Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc test.</p> <p>2. Yes. This concern is now addressed as reflected in the attached revised manuscript.</p> <p>3. No. This is not correct. The mistake was a typographical error, which is now corrected as reflected in the attached revised manuscript.</p> <p>4. Yes, table 3 is necessary as it serves as a summary of table 2, but only expressed in %. Although, this table is optional and may be removed, if the editor so wishes. Nevertheless, it will still make a lot of sense if it is there.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>