

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_116526
Title of the Manuscript:	Training Impact Assessment: Evaluating PAMETI's Programs for Punjab's Extension Personnel
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community, particularly within the field of agricultural extension and training. It addresses a critical aspect of agricultural development by evaluating the effectiveness of training programs for extension personnel. By assessing parameters such as applicability, relevance, timeliness, and job performance improvement, the manuscript provides valuable insights into the impact of training initiatives on the knowledge, skills, and satisfaction of extension workers.</p> <p>The title "Training Impact Assessment: Evaluating PAMETI's Programs for Punjab's Extension Personnel" is suitable for the manuscript as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the study. It clearly indicates that the research revolves around assessing the impact of training programs offered by PAMETI on extension personnel in Punjab. The title effectively communicates the main objectives and scope of the study to the readers, making it relevant and informative.</p> <p>The abstract provides a concise overview of the study, covering essential aspects such as the objective, sample size, methodology, key findings, and implications. It effectively communicates the purpose of the research, which is to evaluate the effectiveness of training programs for extension personnel conducted by PAMETI.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to have a clear and logical structure with appropriate subsections, including an introduction, methodology, results and discussion, conclusion, and references. These subsections provide a systematic framework for presenting the research objectives, methods, findings, and conclusions.</p> <p>Based on the information provided, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound. The study employs an ex-post facto research design, which is appropriate for investigating the effectiveness of training programs where direct manipulation of variables is not possible. The methodology section outlines clear sampling techniques and data collection methods, ensuring transparency and reliability in the research process.</p> <p>I Think enough</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need minor revision. Grammarly report 88%</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matias Andika Yuwono
Department, University & Country	Widya Mandala Catholic University, Indonesia