

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_117067
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of calcium chelate and carbonic powder on growth, yield and quality of Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Firstly, I thank the Editor for the invitation made and secondly, the authors for the research carried out. The abstract could benefit if the authors only included the T5 specification which is considered the most efficient. In the methodology part there will be a logical description of all treatments carried out. The abstract also needs to conclude the objective of the study and its importance for the country where the study is done, which is present almost at the end of the Introduction section, and would call the attention of readers for the research.</p> <p>The insertion of Tables 1, 2 and 3 are missing in the text and should be interspersed with the interesting explanation, in order to make it clearer and more attractive to read.</p> <p>The point of statistical analysis, having only one line, could be eliminated because it is in fact the continuation of the methodology. I congratulate the authors' efforts in the research.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Helena de Aguiar Pereira e Pestana
Department, University & Country	Lisbon University, Portugal