

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_117158
Title of the Manuscript:	Cramming Analysis Based on Time to Start Studying and Time the Exam Being Held
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes It is relevant to the scholars and academicians. Author emphasised the importance of study.</p> <p>Yes, it is relevant one.</p> <p>Quality of abstract is moderate and suitable for readers. Author/ Authors meticulously worked out all the sections. He/she covered all the areas in a very good manner, discussion is some what short but suitable to the readers. According to my perception, this study match with each and every aspect of Methodology. All the sections have relevance of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes , it is suitable to scientific community. The author included the recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Usage of English language is moderate and understandable to the readers.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Kiran Kumar Reddy
Department, University & Country	RGM CET, India