

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_117158
Title of the Manuscript:	Cramming Analysis Based on Time to Start Studying and Time the Exam Being Held
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript investigates the phenomenon of cramming among medical students based on the time they start studying and the timing of exams, providing valuable insights into the study habits and academic performance of this population. It sheds light on the association between cramming, study time, and exam performance, which is important for understanding the challenges faced by medical students and exploring strategies for improvement</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the focus of the study.</p> <p>The abstract of the article provides a comprehensive overview of the study, summarizing the key findings and implications effectively.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, facilitating clarity and organization in presenting the research methodology, results, and discussion.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, with appropriate data analysis and interpretation supporting the conclusions drawn.</p> <p>The references provided are sufficient and recent, covering relevant literature on the topic. However, adding references that explore strategies to mitigate cramming or improve study habits among medical students could further enhance the manuscript.</p> <p>Discuss practical implications for educational practices and also provide suggestions for future research based on study findings. The conclusion is too brief delve deeper into the relationship between cramming behavior and academic performance among male and female students. Explore possible explanations for the contrasting outcomes observed in the study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. However, some sections may benefit from minor grammatical or stylistic revisions for improved clarity and readability.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript offers valuable insights into the practice of cramming among medical students and its impact on academic performance. Addressing the minor revision comments and considering the suggested additional references would further strengthen the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aymun Iqbal Malik
Department, University & Country	Pakistan