

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_116346</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Study of Safety Awareness and Compliance Between Small and Medium Scale Enterprises in Akwa-Ibom State, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Empirical</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. the manuscript contributes to the scientific community by examining safety awareness and compliance in small and medium-scale enterprises, addressing a relevant topic with potential practical implications.</li> <li>2. the title accurately reflects the study's focus, which examines safety practices in small and medium-scale enterprises in a specific region.</li> <li>3. Based on the information presented, the abstract can be considered comprehensive, providing a concise overview of the study's objectives, methodology, findings, and implications.</li> <li>4. Yes, they follow the scientific novelty and structure.</li> <li>5. I opine that the manuscript adheres to scientific principles by conducting a comparative study on safety awareness and compliance in small and medium-scale enterprises.</li> <li>6. The references for the study's nature seem relevant and sufficient in terms of scope and relevance, though more references within the immediate five-period period are essential.</li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The article's English language and quality appear suitable for scholarly communications. The writing is clear, coherent, and effectively conveys the research topic, objectives, and methodology. Using appropriate terminology and references to relevant sources demonstrates a scholarly approach to the subject matter.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The introduction effectively establishes the importance of occupational safety and health in small and medium-scale enterprises in Nigeria. The methods section provides relevant details about the study participants and sampling techniques, contributing to the article's suitability for scholarly communication.	
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leo T. Mataruka</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Catholic University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe</b>