

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJASS_116601</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Semantic Characteristics of Pronominal Nouns in Vietnamese and Translation into Chinese</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>I agree because translating from one language to another may impact the effectiveness of meanings. In the present world, the importance and analysis of translated text are on increase to avoid misunderstandings in intercultural communication.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>I am doubtful because of confusing themes in the abstract and conclusion. I have highlighted the text and mentioned in detail in the attached file of the research work.</b></p> <p><b>No</b>, I advocate major revisions in reference section. My suggestions are given below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Include some latest references</li> <li>2. The referencing style of the journal has not been followed as per my understanding of this journal's author guidelines.</li> <li>3. The references are not arranged either alphabetically or chronologically.</li> </ol> <p><i>(I have mentioned these points in the attached word file of the research article).</i></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes but some expressions need minor revision which are highlighted in the research paper.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The abstract and conclusion sections are referring to different themes which makes it unintelligible.</b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aniqa Riaz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agriculture, Pakistan</b>