

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_116628
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of urban growth and urbanization on the social environment: Study of the state of growth and social changes in the old and modern cities of Yemen an example
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript has interesting findings but the sources are outdated and it may be important for the author to update all the data . Globally a lot is happening which has a great impact on the social environment of Yemen e.g climate change, Impacts from COVID 19, war and conflicts. The manuscript lacks clear methodological processes</p> <p>Yes the title is suitable for the article basing on the findings</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive enough and most of the key ingredients of an abstract are lacking and the author will need to revisit it. Among the key words, urbanization and urban growth should be included. The research design, study team and methods are not well articulated. Ethical considerations are missing. With the discussion and conclusions, he/she would have used up to date statistics. One cannot use census of 2004 The author used the term natural factors in the discussion which should have been demographic factors. The abstract is not captivating and it cannot stand on its own without going through the entire script. It must be revisited</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript needs to be revisited because the author did not follow the manuscript structure.</p> <p>On the whole I feel that the author/ authors chose a rich topic but did not explore it well. We missed a lot of valuable data about Yemen Secondly most of the data is outdated e.g we cannot rely on a census that was conducted in 2004 and it also lacks current statistics in terms of demography and an in-depth analysis of most critical issues highlighted especially the gender, social cultural, economic and political. He/ she will need to look for updated information and statistics. The findings should also be based on the Sustainable Development Goals. I suspect this data based on secondary literature review but this was not indicated. I failed to find the purpose of this study. How will it be helpful to Yemen and the scientific community</p> <p>Most of the references are outdated for instance a credible research cannot rely on a census that was last done in 2004. Yemen like any other country has changed. I believe there are many publications that the author can access to improve this study.</p> <p>The authors/ author should familiarize themselves with work done on Sustainable Development Goals because these would be very resourceful on urbanization and urban growth. Issues of migration, climate change, digital economy, land use planning, Gender and women empowerment, agriculture, air pollution, urban transport among others are good areas to look at</p> <p>Urbanization and urban growth are also too wide and this is where the author should have specific questions to be addressed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English is okay</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	The author selected a good title but used outdated sources of information. The methodology was not clearly spelt out. Urbanization and urban growth and social environmental aspects should be based on the sustainable development goals. The author has a great opportunity to bring out good information that is current and important to the scientific community which he has not explored.	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jacquelyne Nnassuna
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya