

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_116284
Title of the Manuscript:	Deciphering The Traits Association And Path Coefficient Analysis Among The Yield Attributing Traits In Snap Melon (Cucumis melo var. momordica) Genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is. But it is better if some amendment is made on almost all parts of the paper. To specify, the abstract, introduction, Methodology and result and discussion part of the paper needs minor modification and is mandatory to amend based on the comments indicated on the main manuscript on each part to fit the standard of the journal and the demand of readers.</p> <p>Yes it is.</p> <p>Somehow it is. But it has to be improved in a way that includes the statement of the problem, objectives and conclusion. Because the abstract should stand alone and transfer all the necessary information of the manuscript if someone wants to understand the overall content of the paper by reading the abstract.</p> <p>With minor modification yes they are.</p> <p>Yes. It is correct.</p> <p>They are not sufficient and not recent.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endalkachew Baye
Department, University & Country	Salale University, Ethiopia