

Effect of drought stress on Morpho-phenological and yield parameters in sorghum genotypes

Abstract: Drought stress is a major challenge for sorghum production, particularly during the reproductive stage. This study investigated the effects of drought stress on sorghum genotypes, focusing on their morpho-phenological characteristics and yield parameters. A field experiment was conducted over two *rabi* seasons (2021-22 and 2022-23) at the University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad. Twenty sorghum genotypes were evaluated under both irrigated and rainfed conditions using a split-plot design with two replications. Water stress reduced sorghum plant height, with a mean height of 190.41 cm under irrigated conditions and 176.50 cm under rainfed conditions at 90 days after sowing. Water stress advanced the physiological maturity by 10 days compared to irrigated condition. Yield parameters revealed significant differences, the mean grain yield was 70.23 g/plant for irrigated conditions and 54.54 g/plant for rainfed conditions. Water stress also had an impact on harvest index, with irrigated conditions having a mean harvest index of 30.91% and rainfed conditions having a mean harvest index of 28.13%. Genotypes by overall performance Phule Anuradha, BJV 44, and M-35-1 tolerated water stress, by minimizing yield reduction by drought.

Keywords: Sorghum, Drought, Genotypes, Rainfed, Plant height and Gain yield

Introduction

Drought is a major abiotic stress that limits sorghum production in arid and semi-arid regions of the world. Drought stress can occur at any stage of crop growth and development, but it is particularly damaging during the reproductive stage, when it can lead to significant yield losses. Sorghum genotypes vary in their tolerance to drought stress. Some genotypes have evolved mechanisms to avoid drought stress, such as deep roots or the ability to close their stomata quickly to reduce water loss. Other genotypes have evolved mechanisms to tolerate drought stress, such as the ability to accumulate osmoprotectants or maintain photosynthesis under low water conditions. Understanding the impact of drought stress on different sorghum genotypes is essential for developing breeding strategies to improve drought tolerance. This research article investigates the intricate relationship between drought

stress and sorghum genotypes, focusing on their morpho-phenological characteristics and yield parameters. Morpho-phenological traits, such as plant height, flowering time, and leaf structure, play a vital role in a plant's ability to withstand and adapt to water scarcity. Yield parameters, including grain yield and water use efficiency, are critical for determining a crop's productivity under challenging environmental conditions. By examining how various sorghum genotypes cope with drought stress, this study aims to unravel the genetic and physiological mechanisms underlying their adaptability. Such insights can inform breeding programs, helping develop drought-resistant sorghum varieties that thrive in water-limited environments. Additionally, this research contributes to our broader understanding of how crops respond to changing climate patterns, ultimately facilitating the sustainable cultivation of sorghum and the maintenance of food security in a world increasingly affected by drought stress.

Materials and methods:

Experiment:

Field research was conducted at the Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, during the *rabi* seasons of 2020-21 and 2021-22. Twenty sorghum genotypes were studied in a split-plot design with two replications. The experiment involved two moisture conditions: rainfed and irrigated. Rainfed plots received no additional irrigation after sowing, while irrigated plots received two extra irrigations at 35 and 65 days after sowing (DAS).

Observations recorded: This study assessed water stress effects on sorghum genotypes, considering morphology, phenology and yield-related traits.

Morphological characters: Morphological traits were assessed, including plant height, leaf area, and leaf area index at 30, 60, and 90 DAS. Plant height was measured from stem base to tip. Leaf area was determined using Stickler *et al.*'s method, and leaf area index was computed as the ratio of leaf area to land area per plant.

Phenological characters: We also recorded phenological characteristics such as days to 50% flowering and days to physiological maturity. Days to 50% flowering represented the time when half of the plants in each treatment bloomed, expressed in days. Days to physiological maturity signified the duration for a genotype to reach a stage where seeds developed a dark spot, marking the end of photosynthate supply (Rao *et al.*, 2007).

Yield parameter: Yield parameters were assessed through panicle weight per plant, grain yield per plant, stover yield, harvest index, and grain yield per hectare. Harvest index was calculated as the ratio of economic yield to biological yield, expressed in percentage.

Result and discussion

Morpho-phenological characteristics

Plant height:

Under water stress condition, sorghum plants tend to be shorter compared to their well-watered counterparts. The primary reason behind this reduction in plant height is the diminished cell division in sorghum plants, which restricts their overall growth potential (Ghosh *et al.*, 2015). From 30 days after sowing (DAS) to 90 DAS, the increase in plant height exhibited a gradual pattern, but afterward, the rate of growth decreased until harvest (Table 1). Notably, significant differences in plant height were observed among the *rabi* sorghum genotypes at various growth stages. At 60 DAS, irrigated plants showed greater mean plant height (129.14 cm), followed by rainfed plants (115.37 cm), and a similar trend followed at 90 DAS. Genotype DKS-35 showed considerably greater mean plant height at 90 DAS (216.46 cm), whereas genotype Basavana pada had a significantly lower mean plant height (147.40 cm).

Leaf area and Leaf number:

Under well-watered (irrigated) conditions, sorghum genotypes showed a highest mean leaf count (12) and larger leaf area (1219.5 cm²) compared to rainfed conditions (10 and 1183.8 cm², respectively) at the 60 DAS (Table 2 and 4). Osakabe *et al.* (2014) found that drought stress reduced leaf area due to water scarcity. SPV 486 exhibited the highest leaf area under both rainfed (1425 cm²) and irrigated (1382 cm²) conditions. Conversely, Phule Anuradha showed the least reduction in leaf area, with 1350.5 cm² under irrigated conditions and 1316.5 cm² under rainfed conditions at 90 DAS. Thus, adequate water availability increases sorghum genotype leaf numbers and areas, but drought stress reduces leaf development and size.

Days to 50% flowering and physiological maturity:

In response to drought stress, sorghum plants often adopt a survival strategy of early flowering. This allows them to complete their reproductive cycle before severe water scarcity sets in, ensuring their survival. Among the twenty sorghum genotypes tested, Phule Anuradha showed early flowering, reaching 50% flowering in only 63 days, demonstrating its drought

tolerance (Table 5). This early flowering behavior in tolerant genotypes enables them to reach physiological maturity sooner under stress conditions, ensuring seed production before conditions become too severe (Jabereldaret *et al.*, 2017). Under non-stress conditions, Phule Anuradha reaches physiological maturity in 108 days, while CSV-216R takes 114 days. However, under rainfed conditions, these genotypes achieve physiological maturity at 103 and 105 days, respectively.

Yield parameters:

Grain yield per plant:

Significant variations were observed in yield parameters due to irrigation, genotypes, and their interactions (Table 6). In irrigated conditions, the mean grain yield was 70.23 g/plant, while in rainfed conditions, it was 54.54 g/plant. Among the genotypes, BJV-44 displayed the highest grain yield at 78.13 g/plant, while SVD-1272R (43.66 g/plant) and Chitapur - L (48.59 g/plant) recorded notably lower grain yield per plant. Genotypes M 148-138 and Tandur L showed the most significant reduction in grain yield per plant under rainfed conditions, with decreases of 34.3 grams and 30.9 grams, respectively, compared to irrigated conditions, indicating their susceptibility to induced water stress. According to Abderhimet *et al.* (2017), water scarcity can reduce stomatal conductance, reducing photosynthesis carbon dioxide uptake and assimilate production, which is necessary for grain filling and yield formation.

Stover yield:

Moisture stress significantly affects the stover yield of sorghum genotypes. Limited water availability during critical growth stages negatively impacts plant growth and development, resulting in a decrease in stover yield (Indu *et al.*, 2021). Stover yield was notably higher under irrigated conditions at 5413 kg/ha, while rainfed conditions resulted in a significant reduction to an average of 3761 kg/ha. M-35-1 had the highest mean stover yield at 5627 kg/ha, while SVD-1272R showed a decrease of approximately 1685 kg/ha in stover yield under stress conditions compared to non-stress conditions.

Harvest index (HI):

The harvest index was higher under irrigated conditions at 30.91%, while rainfed conditions had a lower mean harvest index of 28.13%. Basavanapada had the highest harvest index at 36.23% under non-stress conditions, followed by Tandur L, while in the stress

regime, Basavanapada and Phule Vasudha had the highest harvest indices. Souza *et al.* (2021) observed that under drought conditions, limited water availability affects various physiological processes in sorghum plants, resulting in changes in resource allocation and a decrease in the harvest index.

Conclusion

Water stress is a major challenge for sorghum cultivation, which significantly influences morpho-phenological and yield parameters. Under drought conditions, sorghum plants adapt by reducing their size, leaf area, and flowering time. This allows some genotypes to maintain grain yield, while others are more vulnerable. Grain and stover yields are generally reduced by water stress. The genotypes Phule Anuradha, BJV 44, Phule Vasudha and M-35-1 performed well under both irrigated and rainfed conditions, demonstrating their tolerance to water stress. Efficient water management is essential for mitigating the adverse effects of water stress on sorghum yield and resource allocation.

Table 1: Effect of drought stress on Plant height (cm) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS in sorghum genotypes (Pooled 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Genotypes		Plant height (cm)								
		30DAS			60DAS			90DAS		
		IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean
1	SVD-1272R	41.97	40.79	41.38	122.09	110.35	116.22	172.50	159.68	166.09
2	SVD-1358R	39.20	38.37	38.78	126.50	112.37	119.43	187.50	174.59	181.04
3	SVD-1528R	35.32	37.82	36.57	120.54	105.14	112.84	173.28	156.88	165.08
4	SVD-1403R	40.42	39.38	39.90	116.30	101.82	109.06	167.00	151.20	159.10
5	SPV-486	38.82	43.08	40.95	128.39	115.33	121.86	187.60	176.88	182.24
6	SPV-2217	43.84	45.30	44.57	131.30	114.69	122.99	190.80	176.13	183.47
7	CSV-216R	50.22	41.74	45.98	128.50	113.12	120.81	185.72	171.20	178.46
8	CSV-29R	44.07	46.95	45.51	135.82	123.58	129.70	201.55	188.60	195.08
9	ICSR-15001	41.03	35.52	38.28	117.77	105.63	111.70	160.00	147.50	153.75
10	Basavana pada	45.08	39.85	41.97	109.69	98.89	104.29	154.20	140.60	147.40
11	Tandur L	33.67	33.87	33.77	114.13	97.87	106.00	161.60	140.49	151.04
12	Phule Anuradha	38.25	43.78	41.02	138.94	127.54	133.24	216.77	206.60	211.68
13	Chitapur – L	47.62	41.50	44.56	138.92	120.64	129.78	210.40	192.70	201.55
14	DKS- 35	42.40	46.40	46.40	148.73	133.60	141.17	222.02	210.90	216.46
15	M-35-1	48.23	45.88	48.56	147.40	135.79	141.59	218.69	209.42	214.05
16	M 148-138	47.92	45.82	46.87	143.17	122.77	132.97	221.50	200.40	210.95
17	Basavanmoti	46.62	43.49	45.05	130.40	119.07	124.73	200.30	188.52	194.41
18	Phule Vasudha	46.37	47.57	46.97	138.33	127.97	133.15	210.60	197.30	203.95
19	BJV-44	36.28	37.15	36.72	121.52	111.82	116.67	181.12	170.42	175.77
20	ICSR-13025	36.52	36.17	36.34	124.32	109.40	116.86	185.10	170.00	177.55
Mean		42.34	41.67	42.01	129.14	115.37	122.25	190.41	176.50	183.46
		S.Em. +	CD @5%		S.Em. +	CD @5%		S.Em. +	CD @5%	
Main plot (M)		0.115	1.461		0.955	3.134		3.302	12.962	
Sub Plot (P)		3.387	23.035		4.417	16.117		4.835	19.431	
Interaction		10.439	29.886		13.613	38.972		14.902	42.662	

Table 2: Effect of drought stress on Leaf area (cm²) at 30, 60 and 90 DAS in sorghum genotypes (Pooled 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Genotypes		Leaf area (cm ²)								
		30DAS			60DAS			90DAS		
		IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean
1	SVD-1272R	342.33	319.63	330.98	823.82	791.60	807.71	677.00	624.30	650.65
2	SVD-1358R	364.86	338.72	351.79	1132.50	1118.62	1125.56	1115.37	1037.34	1076.36
3	SVD-1528R	458.00	458.22	458.11	1365.50	1312.50	1339.00	1253.57	1194.67	1224.12
4	SVD-1403R	475.58	468.85	472.21	1345.64	1307.90	1326.77	1239.10	1171.61	1205.35
5	SPV-486	494.50	512.64	503.57	1610.50	1586.90	1598.70	1425.10	1382.80	1403.95
6	SPV-2217	515.25	502.82	509.04	1597.50	1539.40	1568.45	1414.40	1352.50	1383.45
7	CSV-216R	417.60	414.65	416.13	1264.34	1220.33	1242.34	1202.60	1142.50	1172.55
8	CSV-29R	312.64	324.85	318.75	923.33	890.22	906.78	819.70	769.50	794.60
9	ICSR-15001	367.52	354.68	361.10	998.36	952.56	975.46	837.54	770.64	804.09
10	Basavana pada	405.30	372.85	389.08	1142.86	1111.36	1127.11	1100.80	1056.50	1078.65
11	Tandur L	446.00	426.98	436.49	942.33	876.00	909.17	728.43	660.63	694.53
12	Phule Anuradha	495.16	494.60	494.88	1529.60	1506.90	1518.25	1350.50	1316.50	1333.50
13	Chitapur – L	393.86	404.65	399.26	1180.90	1113.80	1147.35	1182.97	1140.67	1161.82
14	DKS- 35	387.46	368.96	378.21	1085.82	1055.44	1070.63	1033.60	965.60	999.60
15	M-35-1	476.41	479.50	477.96	1406.60	1393.80	1400.20	1340.15	1296.85	1318.50
16	M 148-138	336.72	336.46	336.59	1048.27	1003.67	1025.97	1022.80	954.50	988.65
17	Basavanmoti	392.56	384.33	388.45	1229.34	1207.33	1218.34	1160.57	1118.67	1139.62
18	Phule Vasudha	370.38	364.85	367.61	1300.36	1283.56	1291.96	1193.60	1150.50	1172.05
19	BJV-44	451.81	460.55	456.18	1346.64	1324.50	1335.57	1257.53	1216.33	1236.93
20	ICSR-13025	359.50	304.60	332.05	1116.50	1079.54	1098.02	1060.67	1001.67	1031.17
Mean		413.17	404.67	408.92	1219.54	1183.80	1201.67	1120.80	1066.21	1093.51
		S.Em. +	CD @5%		S.Em. +	CD @5%		S.Em. +	CD @5%	
Main plot (M)		0.810	4.292		4.570	20.067		8.453	27.399	
Sub Plot (P)		8.863	35.616		25.767	77.396		24.670	73.467	
Interaction		27.318	78.209		79.418	227.368		76.039	217.695	

Table 3: Effect of drought stress on Leaf area index at 30, 60 and 90 DAS in sorghum genotypes (Pooled 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Genotypes		Leaf area index								
		30DAS			60DAS			90DAS		
		IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean
1	SVD-1272R	0.51	0.47	0.49	1.22	1.17	1.20	1.00	0.92	0.96
2	SVD-1358R	0.54	0.50	0.52	1.68	1.66	1.67	1.65	1.54	1.59
3	SVD-1528R	0.68	0.68	0.68	2.02	1.94	1.98	1.86	1.77	1.81
4	SVD-1403R	0.70	0.69	0.70	1.99	1.94	1.97	1.84	1.74	1.79
5	SPV-486	0.73	0.76	0.75	2.39	2.35	2.37	2.11	2.05	2.08
6	SPV-2217	0.76	0.74	0.75	2.37	2.28	2.32	2.10	2.00	2.05
7	CSV-216R	0.62	0.61	0.62	1.87	1.81	1.84	1.78	1.69	1.74
8	CSV-29R	0.46	0.48	0.47	1.37	1.32	1.34	1.21	1.14	1.18
9	ICSR-15001	0.54	0.53	0.53	1.48	1.41	1.45	1.24	1.14	1.19
10	Basavana pada	0.60	0.55	0.58	1.69	1.65	1.67	1.63	1.57	1.60
11	Tandur L	0.66	0.63	0.65	1.40	1.30	1.35	1.08	0.98	1.03
12	Phule Anuradha	0.73	0.73	0.73	2.27	2.23	2.25	2.00	1.95	1.98
13	Chitapur – L	0.58	0.60	0.59	1.75	1.65	1.70	1.75	1.69	1.72
14	DKS- 35	0.57	0.55	0.56	1.61	1.56	1.59	1.53	1.43	1.48
15	M-35-1	0.71	0.71	0.71	2.08	2.06	2.07	1.99	1.92	1.95
16	M 148-138	0.50	0.50	0.50	1.55	1.49	1.52	1.52	1.41	1.46
17	Basavanmoti	0.58	0.57	0.58	1.82	1.79	1.80	1.72	1.66	1.69
18	Phule Vasudha	0.55	0.54	0.54	1.93	1.90	1.91	1.77	1.70	1.74
19	BJV-44	0.67	0.68	0.68	2.00	1.96	1.98	1.86	1.80	1.83
20	ICSR-13025	0.53	0.45	0.49	1.65	1.60	1.63	1.57	1.48	1.53
Mean		0.61	0.60	0.61	1.81	1.75	1.78	1.66	1.58	1.62
		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%	
Main plot (M)		0.001	0.005		0.007	0.036		0.013	0.049	
Sub Plot (P)		0.013	0.057		0.038	0.135		0.037	0.124	
Interaction		0.040	0.116		0.118	0.337		0.113	0.323	

Table 4: Effect of drought stress on number of green leaves per plant at 30, 60 and 90 DAS in sorghum genotypes (Pooled 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Genotypes		Number of green leaves per plant								
		30DAS			60DAS			90DAS		
		IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean
1	SVD-1272R	5.50	5.50	5.50	12.25	10.25	11.25	9.75	7.25	8.50
2	SVD-1358R	5.50	5.00	5.25	12.25	11.25	11.75	10.25	7.50	8.88
3	SVD-1528R	5.25	4.75	5.00	11.75	10.00	10.88	10.50	6.75	8.63
4	SVD-1403R	5.75	5.50	5.63	12.50	11.25	11.88	10.75	8.00	9.38
5	SPV-486	6.50	5.75	6.13	12.75	11.00	11.88	11.25	9.00	10.13
6	SPV-2217	6.00	5.75	5.88	12.75	11.50	12.13	9.75	8.50	9.13
7	CSV-216R	5.50	4.75	5.13	12.00	11.00	11.50	9.75	7.50	8.63
8	CSV-29R	6.00	6.25	6.13	12.00	11.75	11.88	11.00	9.00	10.00
9	ICSR-15001	5.25	4.75	5.00	11.50	9.50	10.50	9.75	7.00	8.38
10	Basavana pada	5.75	5.75	5.75	12.25	11.25	11.75	10.00	8.00	9.00
11	Tandur L	5.00	4.75	4.88	12.25	9.75	11.00	9.75	6.25	8.00
12	Phule Anuradha	6.00	6.25	6.13	12.25	11.00	11.63	10.25	9.00	9.63
13	Chitapur – L	5.00	4.50	4.75	12.00	9.75	10.88	10.00	6.25	8.13
14	DKS- 35	6.50	6.00	6.25	11.75	11.50	11.63	10.25	8.50	9.38
15	M-35-1	6.75	6.50	6.63	12.00	11.25	11.63	11.00	9.25	10.13
16	M 148-138	5.00	5.25	5.13	12.00	10.50	11.25	10.00	8.00	9.00
17	Basavanmoti	5.50	5.50	5.50	12.00	11.75	11.88	11.00	9.50	10.25
18	Phule Vasudha	5.50	5.00	5.25	12.00	11.25	11.63	11.00	9.00	10.00
19	BJV-44	6.75	6.00	6.38	12.75	11.25	12.00	11.00	10.25	10.63
20	ICSR-13025	5.25	4.25	4.75	11.75	10.00	10.88	9.50	7.25	8.38
Mean		5.71	5.39	5.55	12.14	10.84	11.49	10.33	8.09	9.21
		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%	
Main plot (M)		1.000	5.706		0.500	2.353		3.250	11.295	
Sub Plot (P)		0.656	4.341		0.959	4.190		1.202	5.278	
Interaction		2.023	5.793		2.957	8.466		3.706	10.610	

Table 5: Effect of drought stress on days to first flowering, 50 % flowering and physiological maturity in sorghum genotypes (Pooled 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Genotypes		Days to first flowering			Days to 50 % flowering			Days to physiological maturity		
		IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean
1	SVD-1272R	67	61	64	75	68	71	115	104	109
2	SVD-1358R	77	72	75	82	77	80	130	119	125
3	SVD-1528R	79	71	75	86	78	82	131	120	126
4	SVD-1403R	77	69	73	82	73	77	123	114	118
5	SPV-486	74	70	72	77	74	76	125	117	121
6	SPV-2217	79	73	76	86	78	82	129	120	124
7	CSV-216R	68	63	66	73	68	70	114	105	109
8	CSV-29R	72	68	70	77	70	74	117	109	113
9	ICSR-15001	79	72	76	86	77	81	135	123	129
10	Basavana pada	72	68	70	76	71	74	123	115	119
11	Tandur L	79	70	75	88	79	83	128	114	121
12	Phule Anuradha	62	58	60	65	61	63	108	103	105
13	Chitapur – L	88	79	84	94	85	89	137	123	130
14	DKS- 35	64	59	61	68	62	65	115	106	111
15	M-35-1	68	65	67	71	68	69	115	107	111
16	M 148-138	77	70	73	82	76	79	127	115	121
17	Basavanmoti	75	72	73	79	74	77	126	119	122
18	Phule Vasudha	74	72	73	79	75	77	131	120	125
19	BJV-44	81	78	80	85	80	82	129	121	125
20	ICSR-13025	86	80	83	91	86	88	137	126	132
Mean		75	69	72	80	74	77	125	115	120
		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%	
Main plot (M)		0.500	3.706		0.333	2.235		0.001	0.003	
Sub Plot (P)		1.419	6.028		1.721	5.872		2.652	7.701	
Interaction		4.373	12.520		5.306	15.190		8.175	23.404	

Table 6: Effect of drought stress on Grain yield/plant, grain yield/ha, stover yield and harvest index in sorghum genotypes (Pooled 2021-22 and 2022-23)

Genotypes		Grain yield (kg/ha)			Grain yield/plant (g)			Stover Yield (kg/ha)			Harvest Index (%)		
		IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean	IR	RF	Mean
1	SVD-1272R	952	852	902	51.18	36.15	43.66	5007	3186	4096	27.76	23.48	25.62
2	SVD-1358R	1169	1037	1103	68.02	55.54	61.78	5110	3457	4284	31.32	30.32	30.82
3	SVD-1528R	1012	828	920	65.03	44.51	54.77	5234	3525	4380	30.44	26.60	28.52
4	SVD-1403R	1444	1123	1283	69.49	55.73	62.61	5342	3601	4471	32.34	30.58	31.46
5	SPV-486	1260	1171	1215	75.74	62.42	69.08	4975	3321	4148	31.53	29.24	30.38
6	SPV-2217	1129	982	1055	68.56	52.90	60.73	5880	4091	4985	29.70	27.38	28.54
7	CSV-216R	890	812	851	56.77	46.71	51.74	5306	3569	4437	27.93	26.76	27.35
8	CSV-29R	1163	1075	1119	73.05	62.65	67.85	5728	4051	4890	31.12	30.10	30.61
9	ICSR-15001	872	619	745	59.01	39.29	49.15	4512	2907	3710	31.30	27.33	29.32
10	Basavanapada	1302	1207	1255	76.03	59.18	67.61	4656	3042	3849	36.23	34.27	35.25
11	Tandur L	738	573	656	70.96	40.04	55.50	4544	2803	3674	36.19	30.32	33.26
12	PhuleAnuradha	1156	1063	1109	80.58	70.36	75.47	6235	4701	5468	28.23	26.97	27.60
13	Chitapur – L	493	316	405	61.90	35.28	48.59	4777	3027	3902	30.35	23.00	26.67
14	DKS- 35	1539	1348	1444	80.82	70.86	75.84	6075	4422	5249	28.41	28.29	28.35
15	M-35-1	1350	1271	1310	79.88	73.50	76.69	6394	4860	5627	30.21	29.54	29.88
16	M 148-138	1350	1095	1222	78.67	44.36	61.51	5517	3824	4671	31.51	24.18	27.85
17	Basavanmoti	1306	1258	1282	63.69	52.73	58.21	5900	4461	5180	28.49	25.90	27.20
18	PhuleVasudha	1426	1362	1394	75.52	68.73	72.12	5983	4454	5219	31.57	31.83	31.70
19	BJV-44	1332	1269	1300	80.08	76.17	78.13	6115	4621	5368	30.00	30.25	30.12
20	ICSR- 13025	983	780	882	69.52	43.75	56.64	4975	3289	4132	33.49	26.18	29.84
Mean		1143	1002	1073	70.23	54.54	62.38	5413	3761	4587	30.91	28.13	29.52
		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%		S.Em. ±	CD @5%	
Main plot (M)		265.635	775.212		13.520	51.788		547.603	1757.958		5.020	14.784	
Sub Plot (P)		111.026	410.725		4.982	13.297		229.772	619.526		2.836	7.037	
Interaction		342.207	979.712		15.354	43.958		708.204	2027.535		8.742	25.027	

Reference

- Abderhim A J, El Naim A M, Abdalla A A and Dagash Y M, 2017, Effect of water stress on yield and water use efficiency of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) in semi-arid environment. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 7 (1): 1-6.
- Ghosh S C, Akram S, Ahsan S M, Asif A A and Shahriyar S, 2015, Morpho-physiological and yield performance of grain sorghum genotypes. *Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, 1 (2): 271-284.
- Indu M, Dikshit N, Dimple S, Singhal R and Ahmed S, 2021, Stover quality: New priority trait in fodder sorghum. *Indian Farmer*, 8 (12): 554-559.
- Jabereldar A A, El Naim A M, Abdalla A A and Dagash Y M, 2017, Effect of water stress on yield and water use efficiency of sorghum in semi-arid environment. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, 7 (1): 1-6.
- Osakabe Y, Osakabe K, Shinozaki K and Tran L S P, 2014, Response of plants to water stress. *Frontiers of Plant Science*, 5 (86): 523-549.
- Rao S S, Elangovan M, Umakanth A V and Seetharama N, 2007, Characterizing phenology of sorghum hybrids in relation to production management for high yields. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 2 (4): 1-5
- Souza A P, Cocuron J C, Garcia A C, Alonso A P and Buckeridge M S, 2021, Changes in whole plant metabolism during grain filling stage in *Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench grown under elevated CO₂ and drought. *Plant Physiology*, 16 (5): 1755-1765.
- Stikler F C, Pauli A W and Paul A, 1961, Leaf area determination in grain sorghum. *Agronomy Journal*, 53: 188-198.