

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_116756
Title of the Manuscript:	Deciphering Nature's Secret of Seaweed Extract as a Biostimulant on Horticultural Crops: A Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript that has been written is very important for the next author because it provides an explanation and description related to protein-related bio stimulants that can be used to increase food productivity.</p> <p>The title of the article is the construct of the article discussed both from the scientific aspect and from the aspect of food security conditions.</p> <p>The abstract of the article still needs to be added regarding the best composition of protein from some of the ingredients added to the bio stimulant made.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and should be necessary for the aspect of adding relevant literature reviews by the title that has been written.</p> <p>The manuscript that has been written is correct by scientific rules in the structure that has been presented is very good.</p> <p>The references that have been presented are quite up to date and support each other need some strengthening of the review aspects presented by the discussion that has been written.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English that has been presented is by the development of the scientific community and is well presented according to the needs of academics who will read the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is interesting to read, but from the aspect of presenting biosynthesis and biochemical rules, it needs to be presented with posters as additional interesting knowledge.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Imam Sufiyanto
Department, University & Country	Institut Agama Islam Negeri, Indonesia