

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116432
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the efficacy of neem oil and microalgae against leaf spot caused by <i>Alternaria</i> spp in cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, manuscript is important for scientific community as the disease is causing huge economic yield loss in cowpea.</p> <p>2. No, title of the article suitable is not suitable. Need refinement. 'Evaluating the efficacy of neem oil, microalgae and bavistin against leaf spot of cowpea caused by <i>Alternaria</i> spp'</p> <p>3. No, abstract of the article is not comprehensive.</p> <p>4. No, subsections and structure of the manuscript is not appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient but add more recent ones.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but need modifications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mamta
Department, University & Country	GBPUAT, India