__Original Research Article__

Species Diversity and Composition of Macrophytes in Shallabugh wetland, Ganderbal, Jammu, and Kashmir, India

Abstract

Macrophyte diversity, richness, and composition of the Shallabugh wetland Reserve, one of the Important Bird and Biodiversity Area in India. The wetland shows the presence of 39 plant species of 27 families and three tree species belonging to three families and a diversity index of H = 3.12 and Evennesse^H/S = 0.5836. The high Importance Value Index(IVI=22.8) was recorded for *Typha latifolia,* followed by *Ceratophyllumdemersum*(IVI=19.2).The shift in macrophyte community structure is evidenced by the local extinction of *Nelumbo nucifera*from the Shallabugh wetland area and the near-disappearance of some economically important plants such as*Trapa natans*(IVI= 0.24). The investigation of various aspects of the wetland ecology of Shallabughreveals that species composition has witnessed great changes over the years. The conversion of the 141 hectares of wetland into grassland gave way for terrestrial species to flourish. The study serves as the baseline study of the macrophyte community composition and structure of the Shallabugh wetland.

Key words: Macrophyte, Shallabugh, Wetland, Important Bird Area

Introduction

The vegetation is a crucial component that reflects the health of an ecosystem as a whole. The vegetation composition of wetlands fluctuates from season to season, and over the years in a successional manner. These fluctuations suggest a response by each species to the prevailing biotic and abiotic factors as modified by the vegetation itself (Heady, 1958). The study of species composition and the social interactions of species in communities are both included in vegetation ecology. It emphasizes thegeographic distribution, composition, development, andplant community environmental interactions (Legendre and Fortin, 1989; Kolasa and Rollo 1991). A detailed vegetation analysis provides information about species diversity, community structure, niche, and turnover rate of species in an ecosystem.Wetland ecology is dynamic and changes with the water depth, providing fluctuating transitional zones for vegetation to develop (Gopal, 1994).

Kashmir wetlands have been well studied for limnology from time to time. Ecological studies have been carried out on Shallabugh by Siraj et al. (2010), Khurshid and Ahmad (2012), Bashir et al. (2020), and Wani et al. (2020). But no attempts have been made to study the plant community structure of the Shallabugh wetland, therefore in the present study, an attempt was made to study the phytosociology of macrophytes.

Shallabugh is one ofthe important wetlands serving as a staging and wintering habitat for migratory waterfowl. This wetland harbors hundreds of thousands of migratory birds during winters. Macrophyte vegetation may have a direct impact on the use of wetlands by migratory water birds as food and shelter. Nesting of resident water birds also showed different responses to vegetation.

Study area

This study was carried out in the Shallabugh wetland reserve (34°10'13.80"N, 74°42'19.07"E to 34° 8'13.76"N, 74°45'59.03"E) in the Kashmir Valley of Jammu and Kashmir (Figure 1). The wetland has an area of 1,700 hectares and the depth varies from 0.9 meters to 2.19 meters. It is situated 10 km from Srinagar city. The altitude is about 1,545 m above sea level, with the average temperature during winter months ranging between 3.8°C and -5.4°C. January is the coldest month. During summer, the average temperature fluctuates between 13°C and 27°C - the warmest month is July.

Shallabugh is a large bird reserve that serves as an important wintering and feeding ground for birds migrating from central Asia and Siberia (Rahmani *et al.* 2016). Most of the wetland is marshy and several compartments have been made to retain water for migratory waterfowl. The marshy area along with shallow open water also contained a variety of free-floating and submerged vegetation that provide food for waterfowl. Along with marshes, some parts of the reserve have Willow (*Salix alba*), Poplar (*Populus deltoides*), and Mulberry (*Morus* sp.).



Fig1. Shallabugh wetland reserve

Methodology

The phytosociological studies of the Shallabugh wetland were conducted during autumn 2020 when the plants were at the final stages of maturity and easy to identify. The vegetation was analyzed by stratified random sampling to obtain the most representative composition. The vegetation survey was carried out using the quadrat method and 209 quadrants of 1×1 meter were laid on predefined transects. For each quadrant, plants were counted and collar diameter was measured for representative plants. Along the buffer and boundary of the Shallabugh, tree density was estimated using 10-meter circular plots 43 plots were laid. In each plot, the circumference at the breast height of each tree (>10 cm Gbh) was measured. The dominance of the plant and tree species was determined using the species' IVI (Importance Value Index). Vegetation composition was evaluated by calculating the frequency, density, dominance, and IVI, using the following formula given by Curtis and McIntosh (1951).

$$Frequency = \frac{Total\ number\ of\ quadrants\ in\ which\ species\ occur}{Total\ number\ of\ quadrants\ studied} * 100$$

$$Relative\ frequency\ = \frac{Frequency\ of\ a\ species}{Frequency\ of\ all\ species} * 100$$

$$Density = \frac{Total\ number\ of\ individuals\ of\ a\ species}{Total\ number\ of\ quadrants\ studied}$$

$$Relative\ density\ \% = \frac{Number\ of\ individuals\ of\ a\ species}{Number\ of\ individuals\ of\ all\ species} * 100$$

$$Relative\ dominance\ \% = \frac{Basal\ area\ of\ a\ species}{Basal\ area\ of\ all\ the\ species} * 100$$

Total basal cover=Mean basal area of a species * density of that species

IVI = Relative frequency + Relative density + Relative dominance

Results

Herb layer

The dominance of species (determined by their growth forms)based on IVI value is presented in Table 1.A total of 39 herb species belonging to 27 families were recorded from the core and buffer (forest area) of the Shallabugh wetland reserve. The highest number of species belonged to Poaceae (5), followed by Asteraceae (4) and Typhaceae (3). The most dominating vegetation of the wetland was emergents, followed by rooted, and free-floating.

The important value index of all the herb species was calculated.*Typha latifolia* was the dominant plant species with an IVI of 22.8, followed by *Ceratophyllumdemersum*at19.2. The density of Macrophytes was 188,052 plants per hectare with the highest density of *Typha latifolia at* 10,4567 plants per hectare(Table 1).

Tree layer

The forest area surrounding the Shallabugh wetland was surveyed and tree density was calculated as three species of trees were present. The IVI was calculated highest for *Salix* spp. (147), followed by *Populus*spp. (117) and *Morus* sp. (34). The tree density of 274 trees was calculated in the buffer forest region of the Shallabugh wetland reserve which is a plantation forest. The *Salix* spp. showed the highest tree density per hectare (161), followed by *Populus* spp. (105) and *Morus* sp. (8).

Table 1.Dominance Density Frequency and Important value index of plant species.

S.No	Species	Family	Relative dominance	Relative density	Relative frequency	Important Value Index
1	*Typha latifolia*	Typhaceae	8.431	8.585	5.857	22.873
2	*Ceratophyllumdemersum*	Ceratophyllaceae	6.057	8.479	4.679	19.215
3	*Zizania aquatica*	Poaceae	5.613	6.986	5.536	18.135
4	*Rorippaislandica*	Brassicaceae	9.637	3.482	3.714	16.833
5	*Axonopuscompressus*	Poaceae	5.117	7.163	4.500	16.780
6	*Butamusumbellantus*	Butomaceae	5.401	6.049	4.893	16.342

7	*Poa annua*	Poaceae	2.633	7.371	5.179	15.183
8	*Cuscutareflexa*	Cuscutaceae	6.012	5.612	3.464	15.088
9	*Origanum vulgare*	Lamiaceae	6.025	4.820	4.036	14.881
10	*Nymphaea odorata*	Nymphaeaceae	5.586	4.469	3.964	14.019
11	*Typha angustifolia*	Typhaceae	7.611	2.131	3.536	13.278
12	*Oxalis corniculata*	Oxalidaceae	1.547	4.330	4.857	10.734
13	*Echinochloacolona*	Poaceae	1.745	3.909	3.786	9.440
14	*Ranunculus arvensis*	Ranunculaceae	3.071	4.300	2.036	9.407
15	*Polygonum hydropiperoides*	Polygonaceae	2.818	3.507	2.607	8.933
16	*Sparganiumerectum*	Typhaceae	2.808	1.966	4.000	8.774
17	*Cynodondactylon*	Poaceae	1.627	3.644	2.821	8.092
18	*Berulaerecta*	Apiaceae	3.316	1.857	2.750	7.923
19	*Ipomea aquatica*	Convolvulaceae	3.540	1.322	2.036	6.897
20	*Taraxacum officinale*	Asteraceae	1.554	2.176	2.214	5.944
21	*Myriophyllum aquaticum*	Haloragaceae	1.260	1.568	2.679	5.506
22	*Scripuslacustris*	Cyperaceae	1.628	1.215	2.000	4.843
23	*Rumex nepalensis*	Polygonaceae	0.847	0.678	2.857	4.382
24	*Menyanthese trifoliate*	Menyanthaceae	0.975	0.910	2.286	4.171
25	*Euphorbia wallichii*	Euphorbiaceae	0.960	1.195	1.214	3.370
26	*Anagallis arvensis*	Primulaceae	0.168	0.235	2.893	3.295
27	*Cannabis sativa*	Cannabaceae	1.176	0.775	1.321	3.272
28	*Cirsium arvense*	Asteraceae	0.791	0.295	1.786	2.872
29	*Datura stramonium*	Solinaceae	0.489	0.238	1.214	1.942
30	*Acorus calamus*	Acoraceae	0.150	0.120	1.143	1.412
31	*Foeniculum vulgare*	Apiaceae	0.614	0.229	0.500	1.343
32	*Anthemis cotula*	Asteraceae	0.172	0.138	1.000	1.309
33	*Urtica dioica*	Urticaceae	0.223	0.357	0.500	1.080
34	*Valeriana hardwickii*	Caprifoliaceae	0.031	0.069	0.643	0.743
35	*Potamogetonnatans*	Potamogetonaceae	0.240	0.045	0.393	0.677
36	*Nepeta cataria*	Lamiaceae	0.099	0.111	0.393	0.602
37	*Conyza bonariensis*	Asteraceae	0.018	0.025	0.393	0.435
38	*Trapa natans*	Lythraceae	0.013	0.012	0.214	0.240
39	*Malva sylvestris*	Malvaceae	0.008	0.004	0.143	0.155

Inthepresentstudy,anattempthasbeenmadetounderstandthepatternsofspeciesdiversity of herbaceous plants. Species richness was 39 species, Shannon'sDiversity was computed as H = 3.12 and Evenness_e^H/S = 0.5836. Besides bird diversity, Shallabugh wetland harbors a good diversity of macrophytes.

Discussion

Aquatic macrophytes also called hydrophytes, areimportant components of aquatic and wetland ecosystems.They serve as primary producers and form the base of herbivorous and detritivorous food chains, providing food to invertebrates, fish, birds, and organic carbon for bacteria. Their stems, roots, and leaves serve as a substrate for periphyton, shelter for numerous invertebrates, nesting material, and habitat forwater birds.

Altogether 39 species of macrophytes of 27 families were recorded from the study site, of which. Threewere submerged, four were rooted floating-leaved and 32 were emergent species by growth form, *Azolla spp*. was seen as a free-floating macrophyte covering most of the open water in the wetland and blocking water flow. Rooted plants with main photosynthetic parts projecting above the water surface were classified as emergents, rooted plants with leaves floating on the water surface were classified as rooted floating-leaved macrophytes, and plants with crowns floating on the water surface were classified as free-floating macrophytes plants completely or largely submerged were classified as submerged macrophytes

The macrophyte richness especially emergent speciesisan indicator of irregular or shallow water depth with fine sediment advancing eutrophic conditions (Godfrey et al., 1992). Emergent macrophytes were the most dominant form of vegetation throughout the year in the Shallabugh wetland reserve. Shallabugh wetland receives the water from Sindh *Nalla* which flows downstream from Sonamarg and brings a lot of silt and deposit in the wetland.The richness of emergent plants is also representative of siltation and water fluctuation in a wetland ecosystem. As they grow in less depth water and the areas which are exposed to the sun for a long time without having enough water, the germination starts quickly on barren ground with enough moisture.

Out of the total 1700 hectares, 141 hectares of land were converted to grassland and remained dry throughout the year. The area has now converted to cattle grazing ground, Livestock grazing is a crucial anthropogenic disturbance it reshapes the plant community assembly and affects ecosystem functions. Moreover, grazing can affect plant community structure by changing species composition, herb species can be inhibited (Llambiand Rada 2019). Grazing has little influence onnestednessbut can increase species turnover (Adler et al., 2001).

Hundreds of cattle of the locals living on the boundary graze continuously in the wetland area. Livestock in the wetland physically damages flora and fauna, causes soil disturbance, increases turbidity, compacts the soil, and creates bare ground. These changes can alter water clarity, microclimate, water, and air infiltration into the soil, soil strength, and carbon stores. This affects plant growth, results in compositional changes in vegetation, and can affect soil organisms and nutrient processing. Reduced native vegetation cover, soil disturbance, and compaction in the wetland buffer or the wetland catchment can also reduce water quality as they increase surface runoff and erosion, reduce sediment trapping in the buffer and increase the delivery of soil particles, nutrients, salts, and/or pollutants to the wetland.

The shift in the community structure of macrophytes affects avifaunal diversity by alteration of habitat characteristics. Clearwater is the foremost requirement to allow optimal underwater light conditions for macrophytes to germinate and grow. Shallabugh wetland being rich in macrophytes is highly productive but contrary to this, the number of invasive species has thrived more compared to the native ones, which is detrimental to the overall wetland ecology. Measures are to be taken to maintain the trophic status of the wetland to prevent its conversion into a terrestrial ecosystem as the depth of the wetland is decreasing continuously. Macrophytes enhance the transpiration loss and decrease the water table of the wetland day by day and enhance the siltation process. Controlling the macrophytes is major management practice to be implemented as soon as to conserve migratory waterfowlhabitats in Kashmir wetlands. All the wetlands of Kashmir are been converting to grasslands as the water depth is decreasing and shallow water enhance the macrophyte growth.

References

Adler, P., Raff, D. and Lauenroth, W., 2001. The effect of grazing on the spatial heterogeneity of vegetation. *Oecologia*, 128(4), pp.465-479.
https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s004420100737

Bashir, I., Lone, F.A., Bano, H., Nazir, N. and Kirmani, N.A., 2020. Study on the effect of seasonal variations on water quality of Shallabugh wetland. *International Journal of Conservation Science*, 8(2), pp.01-06.https://www.chemijournal.com/archives/2020/vol8issue2/PartA/8-1-414-754.pdf

Curtis, J.T. and McIntosh, R.P., 1951. An upland forest continuum in the prairie-forest border region of Wisconsin. *Ecology*, 32(3), pp.476-496.
https://biosurvey.ou.edu/rice_and_penfound/1931725.pdf

Gopal, B., 1994. The role of ecotones in the conservation and management of tropical inland waters. *Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie: Mitteilungen*, *24*(1), pp.17-25.
https://ur.booksc.me/book/74159731/95ba79

Godfrey, N., Jennings, P.J. and Nichols, O., 1992. *A guide to wetland management on the Swan Coastal Plain*. *Wetlands Conservation Society*.Pp. 106.
https://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/id/eprint/26584/1/wetland_management_on_swan_coastal_plain.pdf

Heady, H.F., 1958. Vegetational changes in the California annual type. *Ecology*, pp.402-416.
https://ucanr.edu/repository/fileaccess.cfm?article=157162&p=DRFSEV

Khurshid, I. and Ahmad, F., 2012. Gastrointestinal helminth infection in fishes relative to the season

from Shallabugh wetland. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, 3(4), pp.270-272.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/317090543_INCIDENCE_OF_HELMINTH_PARASITES_IN_COLD_WATER_FISHES_OF_RIVER_JEHLUM_SRINAGAR_JK

Kolasa, J. and Rollo, C.D., 1991. Introduction: the heterogeneity of heterogeneity: a glossary. In *Ecological heterogeneity* (pp. 1-23). Springer, New York, NY.
https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4612-3062-5_1

Legendre, P. and Fortin, M.J., 1989. Spatial pattern and ecological analysis. *Vegetatio*, 80(2), pp.107-138.
https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00048036

Llambí, L.D. and Rada, F., 2019. Ecological research in the tropical alpine ecosystems of the Venezuelan páramo: past, present, and future. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, *12*(6), pp.519-538.
https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17550874.2019.1680762

Rahmani, A.R., Islam, M.Z. and Kasambe, R.M. (2016) *Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas in India: Priority Sites for Conservation* (Revised and updated). Bombay Natural History Society, Indian Bird Conservation Network, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, and BirdLife International (U.K.). Pp. 1992

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320183508_Important_Bird_and_Biodiversity_Areas_in_India_Priority_sites_for_conservation

Siraj, S., Yousuf, A.R., Bhat, F.A. and Parveen, N., 2010. The ecology of macro-zoo-benthos in theShallabugh wetland of Kashmir Himalaya, India. *Eco Nat Environ*, 2(5), pp.84-91.
http://www.academicjournals.org/app/webroot/article/article1379596092_Siraj%20et%20al.pdf

Timilsina, N., Ross, M.S. and Heinen, J.T., 2007. Community analysis of sal (*Shorea robusta*) forests in the western Terai of Nepal. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 241(1-3), pp.223-234.
https://pubag.nal.usda.gov/catalog/2071252