

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115875
Title of the Manuscript:	GROUNDWATER QUALITY STATUS OF THE PARAMBIKULAM - ALIYAR – PALAR BASIN, TAMIL NADU USING RS AND GIS TECHNIQUES
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, considering data were generated, discussed and analysed in the course of the manuscript: which include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Water quality parameters during different seasons of 2020 2. Water quality parameters during different seasons of 2021 3. Water table depth <p>Title is suitable: Suggestion:</p> <p>No: The abstract is comprehensive enough, summary of analysed result should be included.as well as methods used for analysis.</p> <p>Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Data treatment, tables and figures presented indicate the manuscript can be scientifically proven</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Insufficient reference, (more references should be added) b. Need to use much more recent references as most of the references use are years back c. Poor referencing, need to add journal type, volume and pages used. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chukwu Fidelis Opia
Department, University & Country	Imo State University, Nigeria