

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_115404
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY OF FOOD PURCHASE OF FAMILIES IN THREE DISTRICTS OF ANDHRA PRADESH IN THREE TIME PERIODS OF COVID – 19 PANDEMIC (BEFORE, DURING COVID – 19 PANDEMIC AND IN PRESENT SITUATION)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community because it examines the frequency of purchases of food ingredients by households related to the Covid-19 pandemic.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is not suitable. An alternative is: "FREQUENCY OF FOOD PURCHASE OF FAMILIES IN ANDHRA PRADESH IN THREE TIME PERIODS OF COVID – 19 PANDEMIC" or "IMPACT COVID – 19 ON FREQUENCY OF FOOD PURCHASE OF FAMILIES IN ANDHRA PRADESH"</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. It has not been written according to the provisions in the template. This does not yet explain how the research objectives were achieved systematically. The twenty-one types of food do not have to be mentioned in this section (these would be better included in the body of the manuscript). Meanwhile, the frequency of food purchases in the three districts and three time periods is not answered in the conclusion. The length of the abstract exceeds the 300 word requirement.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not yet appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is not yet scientifically correct, especially in methodology.</p> <p>6. Authors are advised to use the latest (last five years) relevant literature from articles published in AJAEES as references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agnes Quartina Pudjiastuti
Department, University & Country	Tribhuwana Tungadewi University, Indonesia