

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_111039
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical and Enviro-Economic Analyses of a 780 Wp PV System
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript was reviewed carefully, it is related to the scope of the journal and can be interested for readers, I suggest publication after major revision as the following:</p> <p>1-First of all, the resolution and quality of all Figueres is very bad and they should be corrected and improved, in addition Fig.6 is not correct and it is a Table.</p> <p>2- This manuscript is a routine work which has been published many times in different journals, the novelty aspects of this work should be presented with reasonable findings.</p> <p>3- The abstract and conclusion section should be involve with main points.</p> <p>4- The introduction section should be revised.</p> <p>5- the English status should be improved.</p> <p>6- the repeated sentences should be removed.</p> <p>7- Captions of the figure and tables must be with complete information, conditions etc.</p> <p>8-What is the meaning of "a 780 Wp PV" at the title of the manuscript? All abbreviations should be introduced when they have been presented at first. .</p> <p>9- What is the hypothesis of the study? Please clarify in the introduction. Also, the objective and novelty of the work should be clarified clearly.</p> <p>10- Please follow the journal's guidelines for the format of materials and method.</p> <p>11- Please add the practical applications and future research prospects of this work before the conclusions section.</p> <p>12- the following works is related to the degradation of pollutants and cot analysis and it is better to be cited in the introduction section.</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100332</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2020.1791328</p> <p>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.133817</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aref Shokri
Department, University & Country	Payame Noor University, Iran