

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_116513</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Weighted Voting Approach for Face Recognition without Changes in Illumination</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This paper still has some value, especially from a practical perspective, solving the lighting problem makes the application more universal.</li> <li>There is a lot of content about how to deploy and apply it, which can be reflected in the title.</li> <li>The abstract can further reflect the effect achieved, preferably with some numerical values.</li> <li>There are some errors in the chapter numbers. It would be better if the structure could be further improved. I prefer to introduce the method first and give the overall architecture diagram, etc., and then explain it in modules.</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>It is recommended to add some updated references. Some of the existing references are few and most of them are older.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Need to improve language expression	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Incorrect method chapter name confirmed</li> <li>The Fig 1 is not clear</li> <li>There can be some explanation and visualization of the data set</li> <li>There can be some indicator data for autopsy results, such as accuracy and some comparisons, and they can be organized into tables for easy viewing.</li> <li>In the method section, you can add an overall algorithm flow chart at the beginning and explain it.</li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jie Ou</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>UESTC, China</b>